

MAP LEGEND MAP INFORMATION Map Scale: 1:6,470 if printed on A size (8.5" × 11") sheet. Area of Interest (AOI) Area of Interest (AOI) The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000. Soils Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale. Soil Map Units Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause Soil Ratings misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line Capability Class - I placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting Capability Class - II soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale. Capability Class - III Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for accurate map Capability Class - IV measurements. Capability Class - V Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL: http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov Capability Class - VI Coordinate System: UTM Zone 14N NAD83 Capability Class - VII This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of Capability Class - VIII the version date(s) listed below. Not rated or not available Soil Survey Area: Clay County, Kansas Survey Area Data: Version 9, Nov 22, 2010 **Political Features** Date(s) aerial images were photographed: 6/18/2006 Cities PLSS Township and The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were Range compiled and digitized probably differs from the background PLSS Section imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident. Water Features Streams and Canals Transportation +++ Interstate Highways **US Routes** Major Roads Local Roads \sim

Nonirrigated Capability Class

Nonirrigated Capability Class— Summary by Map Unit — Clay County, Kansas (KS027)				
Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
3825	Crete silt loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes	2	2.6	1.1%
3828	Crete silty clay loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes	2	150.1	63.4%
3830	Crete silty clay loam, 3 to 7 percent slopes	3	83.9	35.5%
Totals for Area of Interest			236.7	100.0%

Description

Land capability classification shows, in a general way, the suitability of soils for most kinds of field crops. Crops that require special management are excluded. The soils are grouped according to their limitations for field crops, the risk of damage if they are used for crops, and the way they respond to management. The criteria used in grouping the soils do not include major and generally expensive landforming that would change slope, depth, or other characteristics of the soils, nor do they include possible but unlikely major reclamation projects. Capability classification is not a substitute for interpretations that show suitability and limitations of groups of soils for rangeland, for woodland, or for engineering purposes.

In the capability system, soils are generally grouped at three levels-capability class, subclass, and unit. Only class and subclass are included in this data set.

Capability classes, the broadest groups, are designated by the numbers 1 through 8. The numbers indicate progressively greater limitations and narrower choices for practical use. The classes are defined as follows:

Class 1 soils have few limitations that restrict their use.

Class 2 soils have moderate limitations that reduce the choice of plants or that require moderate conservation practices.

Class 3 soils have severe limitations that reduce the choice of plants or that require special conservation practices, or both.

Class 4 soils have very severe limitations that reduce the choice of plants or that require very careful management, or both.

Class 5 soils are subject to little or no erosion but have other limitations, impractical to remove, that restrict their use mainly to pasture, rangeland, forestland, or wildlife habitat.

Class 6 soils have severe limitations that make them generally unsuitable for cultivation and that restrict their use mainly to pasture, rangeland, forestland, or wildlife habitat.

Class 7 soils have very severe limitations that make them unsuitable for cultivation and that restrict their use mainly to grazing, forestland, or wildlife habitat.

Class 8 soils and miscellaneous areas have limitations that preclude commercial plant production and that restrict their use to recreational purposes, wildlife habitat, watershed, or esthetic purposes.

Rating Options

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Aggregation is the process by which a set of component attribute values is reduced to a single value that represents the map unit as a whole.

A map unit is typically composed of one or more "components". A component is either some type of soil or some nonsoil entity, e.g., rock outcrop. For the attribute being aggregated, the first step of the aggregation process is to derive one attribute value for each of a map unit's components. From this set of component attributes, the next step of the aggregation process derives a single value that represents the map unit as a whole. Once a single value for each map unit is derived, a thematic map for soil map units can be rendered. Aggregation must be done because, on any soil map, map units are delineated but components are not.

For each of a map unit's components, a corresponding percent composition is recorded. A percent composition of 60 indicates that the corresponding component typically makes up approximately 60% of the map unit. Percent composition is a critical factor in some, but not all, aggregation methods.

The aggregation method "Dominant Condition" first groups like attribute values for the components in a map unit. For each group, percent composition is set to the sum of the percent composition of all components participating in that group. These groups now represent "conditions" rather than components. The attribute value associated with the group with the highest cumulative percent composition is returned. If more than one group shares the highest cumulative percent composition, the corresponding "tie-break" rule determines which value should be returned. The "tie-break" rule indicates whether the lower or higher group value should be returned in the case of a percent composition tie.

The result returned by this aggregation method represents the dominant condition throughout the map unit only when no tie has occurred.

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Components whose percent composition is below the cutoff value will not be considered. If no cutoff value is specified, all components in the database will be considered. The data for some contrasting soils of minor extent may not be in the database, and therefore are not considered.

Tie-break Rule: Higher

The tie-break rule indicates which value should be selected from a set of multiple candidate values, or which value should be selected in the event of a percent composition tie.