OREGON FOREST STEWARDSHIP PLAN



TIMMONS FAMILY TREE FARM

94 AC @ 89775 SUTTON LAKE ROAD, FLORENCE OR 97439

Prepared for: L.M. Timmons 4809 – 126th Ave S.E. Bellevue, WA 98006-2723

Email: aeswhq@comcast.net, phone 425-641-4933

By: Simonet Forestry, ACF, SAF Certified, CF#621, 25977 Girl Scout Rd., Veneta, OR 97487

Phone: 541-935-1631; Email: james.simonet@att.com

Original issue February 2006

L'M Timmond

Revision A: June 4, 2010, Added 2010 Standards Management Plan Addendum as Appendix E Revision B June 28, 2014, Incorporate results of recertification review by Steve Cafferata,

Contents	Page
Title Page	1
Forestry assistance Signature Page	3
Property Location	4
Plan Introduction	6
Objectives	7
Tax Status	8
Current Forest Vegetation	8
Wildlife and Fisheries	12
Soils	13
Roads	15
Water Resources	15
Forest Health	17
Fire Protection	17
Agroforestry	18
Archaeological-cultural resource	18
Recreation	18
Aesthetics/scenic	18
Threatened and endangered species	18
Tax Management	19
Summary	19
Available Assistance	21
Thinning and Harvest Schedule	23
Forest Practices Act	24
Appendix A PC Demo Area Stewardship	A 1
Appendix B AFF Tree Farm Inspection record	B1
Appendix C Taxes and Credits	C1
Appendix D Stocking Survey	D1
Appendix E 2010 AFF Management Plan Addendum.	E1
Appendix F Dept. of Forestry Notifications	F1


FORESTRY ASSISTANCE STEWARDSHIP PLAN SIGNATURE PAGE

This stewardship plan describes the existing vegetation, soils, and wildlife/fisheries habitat on the property and addresses the opportunities for the protection of all natural resources while assisting the landowner in meeting his or her objectives for the management of the property. It provides guidelines for a sound strategy which reflects the landowner's management objectives and blends them with a land stewardship ethic. It focuses on the integration of all resources in the management of the property as a valuable legacy for future generations.

All plan elements listed below must be addressed during plan development. The amount of information and the level of detail included under each element will vary with the landowner and plan's purpose.


PLAN ELEMENT CHECK LIST	Check if included
Cover Page	X
Plan Introduction	X
Landowner Objectives	X
Map/Woodland Description	X
Forest Vegetation/ Timber	X
Wildlife/Fish Habitat	X
Soils	X
Roads	X
Water Resources	X
Forest Health	Χ -
Fire Plan	X
Archeological and Cultural Resources	X
Recreation	X
Aesthetic/Scenic Resources	X
Threatened and Endangered Species	X
Forest Practice Rules	X
Sources of Assistance	X
Tax and Business Management	Х
Management Recommendations	X
Signature Page	X

Actions recommended in this plan have no significant long-term adverse impact on any of the above listed



Vicinity Map....5 miles north of Florence OR on Highway 101

Topographical Map of Timmons Tree Farm



T17S, R12W, Sec 23, TL1000 Stewardship Plan Page 6 of 42

94 Acres Located in T 17 S, R 12 W, SECTION 23 , T.L. 1200

(44 degrees 4'48"latitude, -124 degrees 5'45" longitude)

Heceta Watershed, The Land Use and Property Tax Classification is F2

Siuslaw Valley Fire Protection District

Seed Zone: Old-62, New-4

Plan Introduction: This tract is located a 6 miles north of Florence Oregon on US 101. It consists of basically two parcels. 1) A home site of approximately 1 acre with a mobile home that was installed in approximately 1964 and 2) 93 acres undeveloped land with small fir, spruce and several pockets of 6 to 8 year old alder. Major portions of the undeveloped forestland are covered with brush that is affecting the re-establishment of commercially viable trees. The purpose of this plan is to organize a recovery effort for this property to develop a healthy forest over those portions of it that are suitable to raising wood fiber.

The previous owners have not managed the property for forest use and that has been reflected in the tax values. It has always been taxed at full market value (as allowed by the OR tax structure). The previous owner purchased the property in 1964. Prior to that there was a small agricultural operation on the eastern portion of the property. An early photo from the air shows a small house, orchard and animal pens. Portions of the orchard remain but none of the buildings.

A forest survey attributed to the 1950's time period shows a very localized area of Douglas fir (size class 3) was logged in 1910. Another slightly larger area of Douglas fir with size class 2,3 was logged in 1940. The majority of the remaining land was covered in red alder.

The previous owner had a full time job in Florence and he raised a family of boys on the property. As such it was used largely for recreation. The area formerly devoted to agricultural use has been converted to a picnic area. A pond was created some time prior to 1975 to provide wildlife habitat and a continual source of water. The owner stated that from time-to-time small areas were logged and sold for firewood.

T17S, R12W, Sec 23, TL1000 Stewardship Plan Page 7 of 42

Due to the historically low value of red alder, the land cannot support the tax burden of full-assessed value and the property had to be sold to pay land taxes.

Our interest in the property stems from the opportunity to either develop it into 10-20 acre home sites with large open areas or replant trees with a current value that may support the tax burden. Our efforts to rezone the land were unsuccessful and so we are now actively investing in the reforesting of the parcel. This is practical since the value of red alder and western red cedar are now at relatively high values and demand for these species are growing. Reclassifying the property to F2 forest land will make it profitable to produce a timber crop. We intend to continue to seek government assistance in funding our efforts to establish a viable forest on this property.

Landowner Objectives: By investing in the improvements to this property we hope to preserve, protect, improve and sustain all it's natural resources. By developing a comprehensive plan, we hope to improve the environment and increase the value of their forest investment while providing for wildlife diversity, carbon fixation and income from timber production. We plan on accomplishing this by the following specific improvements:

- 1. To develop a mixed age forest of trees that have an ability to thrive on this site and have a commercial value that can support the investment and taxes required to bring this forest to maturity.
- 2. Make use of young stands of red alder that have self-seeded along with newly planted areas to develop a mixed age commercial forest. Current values of red alder and western red cedar are high and these species may have the ability to thrive on portions of this parcel.
- 3. Remove the brush-covered areas to open them up to allow free growth for desirable species and recreational use.
- 4. Develop an area of the property that is less suited for commercial forestry into one that can be used for recreational and instructional purposes.
- 5. Provide animal habitat in the eventual understory of the commercial forest that can be used for personal hunting.

T17S, R12W, Sec 23, TL1000 Stewardship Plan Page 8 of 42

- 6. Restore the pond area to provide animal and fish habitat as well as provide a reservoir for fighting forest fires. This is a top priority.
- 7. Plant conifer trees that are suitable for continually wet areas in the lowland area around Rath creek to reduce the ground water and prevent tree blow-over in this area during winter storms.
- 8. Establish a more continuous income stream by staggering future commercial thinning and regeneration harvests each year for forty years beginning in 2035.
- 9. Conduct a commercial thinning on 75 acres of the more gentle ground, beginning in 2035.

PROPERTY TAX STATUS: The Timmons family tree farm is currently applying to be assessed as designated forestland.

<u>DESCRIPTION OF CURRENT FOREST VEGETATION:</u> The elevation range on the Timmons Family Tree Farm is 40 to 500 feet. There are four vegetation types.

Type 1:

Df 3 = ra 1960

Ra 1.3 = rc 2004

This is a 15 acre fully stocked two story stand of a two year old cedar- alder

plantation with a 45-year Doug - fir and

alder overstory. This type is located on 15 to 30 per cent southern facing slopes. The understory vegetation was removed in 2004 and restocked with 300 per acre red cedar seedlings under a state cost share program.

Type 2: Ra 3 = 1970, This 6 acre red alder type is located on both sides of Rath creek in the SE corner of the tree farm with 10 to 15 per cent southern slopes.

Type 3:

DFR, 3 Scat, ra 1960

Df 2 = ra 1985, br

This is a 61 acre fully stocked two story stand of a twenty year old Doug-

fir and alder saplings was naturally

seeded by a 45-year Doug- fir and alder residual saw timber overstory. There is also a significant brush component. This type is located on 15 to 55 per cent south and north facing slopes.

Examples of activities:



Replanting Western Red Cedar in area of cleared brush – protection against animal forage added.



Demonstration of non-commercial thinning of an alder stand. Note ground soil is undistrubed.




Hand thinning of naturally seeded cedar stand



Hand thinning of naturally seeded alder stand

Stocking Survey Type Locations



T17S, R12W, Sec 23, TL1000 Stewardship Plan Page 12 of 42

WILDLIFE / FISHERIES HABITAT: The Timmons family tree farm is home to large cougar, black bear, coyote, elk, black tail deer, squirrel, bird and rabbit populations. The Timmons have reserved most of their property for wildlife habitat. No public hunting will be allowed on the property.

There is a 100-foot or 8 acre, riparian management area reserved along Rath creek, a salmon spawning habitat. In accordance to objective 3.1 of the Oregon Plan, The Timmons family has recently protected and improved Rath Creek by repairing over ½ mile of old road not consistent with current standards and with imminent threat to waters of the state, by removing a culvert and fill that blocked fish passage and had a high potential to fail and enter waters of the state and by reducing washout hazard by rocking and seeding fills and by adding cross drains for filtering near stream crossings.

Due to silting and potential collapse of the nearby road, the pond was drained and a bridge erected over the stream. The slope of the stream was modified to provide for the potential passage of fish up this branch. Wildlife and forest usage for this property would benefit from the re-establishment of this pond. This would require the excavation of silted soils from the area. In keeping with forest practices, this could be accomplished in summer when the flow of water through this area essentially stops. Cost sharing from the Oregon Watershed Enhancement Broad.

The Timmons family is currently implementing objective 3.2, 3.3, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7 by re-establishing conifer to provide for stream shade and bank stability, by retaining additional conifers along Rath creek, by active placement of large wood in the creek and by creating a resting pool for migrating salmon. Because of lower utilization standards 40 years ago there are many residual cull trees and down woody debris that make excellent wildlife homes.

The Timmons family has always met or exceeded the rules and regulations to protect fish and wildlife as established by the Oregon Forests Practices Act and the Oregon Plan and will continue to do so in the future. Black bears frequent the area feeding on the abundant huckleberries growing in the area. For safety the Timmons may plan to remove the bears and cougars through special permits and hunts.

<u>SOILS</u>: 55 per cent of the Timmons family tree farm contains **Bullards-ferrelo loams** (map symbol 21) on 7 to 60 percent south and north slopes. This unit is composed of 45 percent the Bullards loam and 40 percent Ferrelo loam. The bullards soil permeability is moderate and available water capacity is about 5 to 7 inches. Water supplying capacity is 18 to 24 inches. The Ferrelo soil permeability is moderately rapid and available water capacity is about 6 to 8 inches. Water supplying capacity is 20 to 25 inches.

Both these soils are erosion prone. The 100-year site productivity index for Douglas-fir is 130 (1994) an 130 ft. high tree in 100 years. Because of the wind factor a case could be made to reduce for 30 acres on the West side of the tree farm to less than site index productivity 100 base 50. This is the minimum index level that is considered to be commercial.

Site index refers to how high a tree grows in a certain time period. A site index of 70 base 50 means the soil has the growing capacity to produce a 70 ft. tree in 50 years. A site index of 140 base 100 means the soil has the growing capacity to produce a 140 ft. tree in 100 years. 20 years from now when more accurate site measurements can be taken perhaps this issue will be resolved.

40 per cent of the Timmons family tree farm contains **Lint slit loam** (map symbol 74) on 0 to 20 per cent south slopes. The permeability is moderately rapid and available water capacity is about 12 to 14 inches. Water supplying capacity is 20 to 26 inches. Runoff is slow and the hazard of erosion is slight. The 100-year site productivity index for Douglas-fir is 117 (1997).

Soils through the property are covered with 6 to 8 inches of humus which promotes undesirable understory plants that grow rapidly. If commercial timber growing is an objective, timber regeneration should start immediately after regeneration harvesting and some vegetation management such as spraying and cutting of competing vegetation may be needed. To date no harvestable timber exists.

Although no windfall or erosion was observed, all these soils are wind throw prone. Care should be taken to locate cutting boundaries away from ridge tops and parallel to wind direction. Large wind firm trees should not be cut during thinning. These soils are both well suited to growing commercial Douglas-fir, spruce, hemlock, alder and native pasture. They are also suited for recreation and wildlife habitat. The hazard to wind and water erosion is high. Cuts and fills should be seeded or mulched.

TIMMONS FAMILY TREE FARM

LANE COUNTY, OREGON


94 ACRES

T 17 S, R 1 W, SECTION 23

SCALE: 1 INCH = 712 FEET

FEBRUARY 2006

SOIL TYPE MAP



SIMONET FORESTRY, ACF., SAF CERTIFIED, CF # 621, 25977 GIRL SCOUT RD., VENETA, OR 97487 PHONE 541-935-1631, E-mail: james.simonet@att.net

<u>ROADS</u>: The Timmons family has improved the access to their property by developing a road system throughout the property that can be used most of the year. They are also maintaining or constructing drivable water bars and cross culverts at least 200 feet apart (100 ft. where the slope exceeds 15%) where needed to divert road run off from entering the stream or lake. The current well -drained roads appear to have very little erosion at this time in accordance with

the Oregon Plan the Timmons family want to continue to brush their roads where needed to improve visibility and access for fire protection.

WATER RESOURCES: An 8 acre Riparian Management Area along Rath Creek has been designated by the *Oregon Forest Practices Act* for special protection. The Timmons family plans to protect these RMA lands by not allowing sediment to enter it and by not thinning or harvesting during periods of heavy rains. In addition, they plan to save trees and shrubs along the RMA in accordance with the objectives of the Oregon Plan. There are several domestic water sources on or near the property outside of the Rath creek drainage. There are concentrated in the western side of the property near Highway 101 and Sutton Lake Road.



Forest Pond that existed prior to culvert removal This plan proposes to excavate for a replacement pond above the new bridge to serves as a source of water in case of a fire.

Failing road and culvert replaced with a bridge to aid fish passage

Culvert removed and bridge added – stream edge rocked and seeded for erosion control.



On installation

Old culvert style stream crossing replaced with bridge - Summer 2003

_ Note rocked and seeded bank.

Two months after erosion control seeding.





<u>SUSTAINING FOREST HEALTH</u>: There are no insects or disease issues and the height growth still remains 2 to 3 feet per year in most of the timber types at this time. Because of low stocking of high value conifer species and stagnation from extreme brush competition in the next thirty years, at least 45 acres of type 3 will need to be piled and replanted as funds permit. By 2018-22 types 1 and 3 will also need a 12 X 12 foot spacing or 300 trees per acre pre-commercial thinning to keep from becoming stagnated from overcrowding. This condition, if allowed to continue, will eventually cause stagnation and low vigor. The overstory should also be removed at this time. The remaining crop trees should be pruned to reduce the fuel ladder effect.

A stand under stress from overcrowding becomes weakened and is more susceptible to attack from insect, disease and wind throw. This will cause an increase in fuel loading. This is extremely important on steep ground.

To sustain the vigor and health of their forest, after harvesting the overstory in type 1 and 3, the Timmons family plan to control competing vegetation, by hand spraying with chemicals such as "Roundup or Garlon 4" and by hand cutting. This is a continuous on going process. In 2034-38, the Timmons family plans to commercially thin 55 acres in type 1 and 3 to a 15 X15 foot spacing or 194 trees per acre. This should be accomplished by removing the smallest trees in the stand first. The cost should equal the income for this thinning, but this should increase future harvests by 20 percent or more.

By thinning out some of the dense undergrowth and suppressed trees the Timmons family hope to reduce stress from overcrowding. The remaining trees will be more open, thus allowing for increased airflow and the development of stronger healthier crowns and roots. This in turn will reduce fire, wind throw, insects and disease. Care should be taken not to expose too much bare ground thereby allowing undesirable species to become established. In order to create a more even income flow the Timmons family want to harvest their 76 acres in four 16 and 20 acre units beginning in 2044.

FIRE PROTECTION: The Timmons family is a member of the Siuslaw Valley Fire Protection District for structures that exist. Forest land is protected by Western Lane District of the Oregon Dept. Of Forestry.. In summer fires are easily ignited and can propagate in forests. To keep such a fire from destroying their investment and to create and maintain a fire-resilient stand for the long term where large trees can be reserved for wildlife habitat, recreation, carbon fixing and aesthetics, the Timmons family has developed the following plan:

- Maintain roads that are passable under most weather conditions and a trail system that accesses all points on the property.
- Construct fire wildlife pond.
- Conduct a series of thinning and regeneration harvests to reduce fuel loads.
- Water can be dipped from Sutton Lake with a helicopter bucket.
- Maintain a fuel free defensible zone 100 to 150 feet around houses on their property.

Use metal roofing and hardy board in home construction.

AGROFORESTRY: There are no plans for grazing at this time.

ARCHAEOLOGICAL-CULTURAL RESOURCE: There are no known archaeological or cultural resources on the Timmons family tree farm at this time. One building exists on the west edge of the 94 ac parcel that has no known history except as a storage building. When Timmons purchased the property he consulted with the Florence Historical Society people to see if there was any history available about the building. None was found and so we concluded that it was of no significant historical value. That, and the fact that the building was in very poor condition, led to the conclusion it was a storage building. Some restoration work was done in 2014 due to the collapse of a portion of the building. The collapsed portion was removed and several rotted support timbers were replaced.

Previous owner of the and current caretaker of the property installed a double wide mobile home approximately in 1964. This home is still in existence and use. The Timmons Family are very interested in preserving family, community and settlement history and associated resources such as cemeteries, homesteads, trails and other significant sites. Cemeteries and gravesites will be protected as mandated by state and federal law. Human bones will be brought to the sheriff's attention. Cabins and buildings older than fifty years should be identified and the Timmons family will consider these for preservation. The State Historic Preservation Officer will be notified and asked to evaluate such properties. The State Historic Preservation Officer will provide confidential consultation at no cost to the landowner.

RECREATION: The Timmons family plan to use their property for family recreation only at this time.

<u>AESTHETIC/SCENIC</u>: It's the Timmons family objective to sustain this scenic landscape by protecting it from fire, erosion, and vandalism or over harvesting.

Regeneration Harvesting should begin in 2044 on a total of four 16 - 20 acre units, and continue for fifteen years. Each harvest should be between 300,000 and 700,000 bf for a total of 2.1 million board feet. Regeneration Harvesting is clear-cutting.

THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES: There's no known threatened or endangered species within ½ mile of the property.

TAX AND BUSINESS MANAGEMENT: Landowners wanting to meet Western Oregon Small Tract Option Tax or Designated Forestlands status may need to have a Management Plan to qualify. Timber harvest and other revenue generating activities can lead to a federal and state income tax liability.

Good estate planning can help to lessen tax liability when passing land to heirs

landowners should seek good tax advice. Good record keeping can help Landowners manage their investment, increase their revenues and minimize their tax liability. The current land use and property tax classifications of the Timmons family tree farm is designated forestland.

Anyone who spends money to reforest land may qualify for a 10 percent federal tax credit and deduction (amortization) of the expense over an 84-month period. Included are expenditures to plant trees after a harvest or on land being converted to a forest, as well as expenses to protect natural regeneration (e.g. tubing). There are specific rules, but most timberland owners qualify as long as the reforestation is for the production of timber to eventually be harvested for commercial use.

The National Timber Tax Website at www.timbertax.org/ is a good starting point for additional information. All applicable tax publications are available as downloads from the site.

SUMMARY

Simonet Summary: Although the Timmons family believe that alder is a valuable commercial species, it has been my experience as a certified professional forester for 35 years that Douglas-fir and cedar will produce up to 50 percent more income in the long run. It is only my opinion and I don't have any evidence to back it up, but I believe Swiss needle cast is not a serious threat in this area. The worst Swiss needle cast damage I have seen has been where people have tried to grow Douglas-fir on hemlock sites. These sites generally occur farther to the north. Alder, although an excellent nitrogen fixer in the soil, is more susceptible to wind and ice damage. I would be derelict in my duties if I didn't warn you of the dangers of not discouraging alder as a commercial timber species. The Timmons family, however believes that Swiss needle cast is an increasing problem in this area as reported by ODF researchers. Therefore, we are engaged in planting alternative species such as Coastal Redwood, Western Red cedar and Christmas trees.

Monitoring is considered an essential element of the stewardship plan. Through it the Timmons family will be able to determine whether the plan is achieving its goals or if modifications are needed. Among the environmentally sustaining activities suggested for the Timmons family tree farm are:

- 1. Continuing the current long-term inventory of standing trees.
- 2. Completing actual thinning levels and measuring growth rates for timber stands over time.
- 3. Installing bird and bat nesting boxes.
- 4. Preserving the timber stand into older age classes for big game.
- 5. Remove competing vegetation in young plantations by spraying with chemicals or cutting by hand.
- 6. Remove cougars and bears through special hunts and permits.
- 7. Protecting timber stands from fire by reducing fuel through pre-commercial

T17S, R12W, Sec 23, TL1000 Stewardship Plan Page 20 of 50

- and commercial thinning.

 8. Pile and replant in areas of heavy brush concentration.

 9. Construct a wildlife/ salmon/ fire pond.

RECOMMENDED ACTIVITIES

	ACRES	ACTIVITY	COMPLETION
		YEARS	DATE
FOREST VEGETATION:			
plant 45 acres(type 1 & 3)	45	2006- 2009	
Mechanical Brush control (type 1 & 3)	10	2006 - 30+	Ongoing
Pre-Com Thin dense saplings (type 1 & 3)	66	2018	2019
Overstory removel (commercial) (type 1, 3)	20	2018	2019
commercial thin types 1 & 3	55	2034	2035
commercial harvest types 1 & 3	76	2044	2059
WATERSHED			
Leave 100' RMA along Rath Creek	8	Ongoing	Ongoing
SOILS:			
Maintain Water Bars on Road System to Prevent erosion		Semi-annual	Ongoing
Seed Erosive Road Cuts & Fills to Control Erosion		After use	Ongoing
WILDLIFE:			
Consider leaving snags and downed culls for			
bird and mammal habitat		Ongoing	Ongoing
construct wildlife - fire pond	1	2006	2007
THREATENED & ENDANGERED SPECIES:			
Exercise vigilance to recognize them if they move in		Ongoing	Ongoing

Available assistance: Cost-share programs reimburse landowners a percentage of the cost, typically 50 to 75 %, of implementing certain practices.

- **1. Natural Resources Conservation Service**, Newport, Leslie Deavers, phone 202-720-1062, this program covers 50% of the cost of natural resources conservation projects up to \$2,000.
- **2. Oregon Forestry Dept. Cost Share Programs.** There is numerous cost sharing programs available through the Oregon Forestry Dept. Contact the Stewardship Forester (Jim Hall) at 541- 997-8713 for incentive programs which are currently funded. Provides up to 50 per cent with certain limits.
- **3. Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board**, Florence, Eric Nusbaum, watershed coordinator, 541-997-1272, or Jeff Jones, 541- 902-8656. Provides 75% of the project cost.
- **4. Oregon Tree Farm System,** Am Forest Foundation, 1111 Nineteenth St. NW, Suite 780, Washington, D.C. 20036. Www.treefarmsystem.org, Jim Simonet, Am Tree Farm Inspector, 541-935 1631,
 - Meets SFI standards of the Am Forest and Paper Assoc. as a "certified" tree farm.

- Helps prove to the IRS that you are "actively" participating in your forestry operation.
- Magazine with outstanding articles.
- Communication with other tree farm owners.
- Education opportunities.
- American Tree Farm sign.

This use to be a free service, but now AFF is requesting a voluntary \$50 minimum donation to help fund the program.

- **5. Consulting Foresters** provide the actual physical supervision of management projects as well as the marketing and harvesting of forest products. The Oregon Forestry Dept. keeps as list of consulting foresters who have a record for honest professional work in the local area.
- **6. Oregon Fish and Wildlife Dept.,** Newport, Derrick Wilson and Tony Steinn, phone 541-867-4741 ext. 253. Can provide technical assistance on fish and wildlife management.
- **7. OSU Extension Service,** Eugene, Steve Bowers, at 800- 872-8980. provides classes, tours, demonstrations on forestry topics and has a Master Woodland Manager Program that uses trained volunteers to answer many forest management questions.
- **8. Oregon Small Woodlands Association**, Salem, phone 503-588-1970, provides tours, meetings, a newsletter and a link to legislative issues.

TIMMONS FAMILY TREE FARM

THINNING & HARVEST SCHEDULE (53 YEAR rotation on 75 ac. through 2059)

YEAR	2007	2008	2009	2018	2034	2044	2045	2049	2050	2054	2055	2059
UNIT #												
Type 1 RH						15A(20/A				20A(30/A)		20A(35/A)
						300 mbf			13.7	600 mbf		700 mbf
Type 1 PL							15 ac					
Type 3 RH								20A(25/A				
								500 mbf				
Type 1, 3 C	Т			20A(10/ac	55ac(.5/a							
TYPE1 RH												
Type 3 PL	15/Ac	15/Ac	15/ac						20 ac		20 ac	
Type 3 CT												
Type 3 PC	Г			66AC								
				S	U	M	M	Α	R	Υ		
TOTALS				20 AC	55 ac.	15A(20/A		20A(25/A)		20A(30/A)		20A(35/A
				200MBF	28 mbf	300 mbf		500 mbf		600 mbf		700 mbf

DEFINITION OF CODES

PCT = Pre-commercial thin

PL = Planting

CT = Commercial thin

RH = Regeneration harvest

OREGON'S FOREST PRACTICE ACT

The Oregon Forest Practices Act establishes standards that encourage and enhance the growing and harvesting of trees, while maintaining the environmental quality of the forest. Major components addressed are the trees, soil, air, water resources, and wildlife and aquatic habitats. Riparian areas next to streams, lakes, and estuaries, have been given special protection. These areas play an important role in protecting water quality and fish populations. Wildlife often find all of the necessities of life in these areas. Wetlands and the land adjacent to them are also provided special protection to maintain the water quality and quantity, and the fish and wildlife values this resource contributes to the forest environment.

The Act regulates activities during commercial operations on all private and state forest lands. It provides for this regulation of forest operations to be under the Oregon Department of Forestry coordination. Through agreements, federal forestland agencies meet or exceed these practices on the lands they manage.

Forest practices rules require the landowner, timber owner or operator to notify the State Forester through its local District or unit offices at least 15 days before starting an operation. This advance notice gives state foresters the opportunity to review the work site, identify potential problem areas and help landowners and operators avoid problems.

Copies of the complete rules are available at all Department of Forestry Offices.

Notification of Operations on forest lands are required when:

- 1. Harvesting of forest tree species
- 2. Road construction or reconstruction
- 3. Site preparation for reforestation
- 4. Slash disposal or treatment
- 5. Chemical application
- 6. Pre commercial thinning
- 7. Clearing forest land for conversion to any non-forest use
- 8. Commercial cutting of firewood
- 9. Surface mining

Appendix A

Pacific Coast Demonstration Area Stewardship Paperwork

September 2, 2005

Control #: 7749

Oregon Department of Forestry / USDA Forest Service PACIFIC COAST DEMONSTRATION AREA STEWARDSHIP FUNDS APPROVAL NOTICE

75% Cost-Share Funds for Writing of a Forest Stewardship Plan

Landowner:

Larry M Timmons 4809 – 116th Av SE Bellevue WA 98006-2723

County: Lane

Acres: 93

Cost-Share Amount Approved: \$1,290

Approval amount is based upon the acreage the plan will address, according to the

following scale: 10 – 25 acres

\$1106 \$1170

26 - 40 acres

\$1250 + [(# acres - 40) x \$.75]

41 – 2,000 acres 41 – 2,000 acres (complex plan)

\$1250 + [(# acres - 40) x \$1.13].

Expiration Date: May 1, 2006

If you decide not to complete your stewardship plan, please notify your stewardship forester as soon as possible.

Your local stewardship forester is Jim Hall at Oregon Department of Forestry, 2660 Kingwood St (PO Box 460), Florence OR 97439. Jim's phone number is (541)997-8713. Planning Guidelines for preparing a stewardship plan are enclosed.

After the plan is completed, here is what you must do to receive your payment:

- Fill in the Landowner Completion section of the attached Practice Approval and Payment Application form (answer questions 1 and 2, sign and date.)
- Return the Practice Approval and Payment Application form and a copy of your Stewardship Plan to your stewardship forester for review at least 30 days before the expiration date.

The next two items may be done after the stewardship forester has approved the plan:

- Provide stewardship forester with a copy of receipt or invoice from the consultant who wrote the plan.
- Attach proof of your payment such as a cancelled check or consultant's signature, date, and "paid" written on the invoice by consultant.
- Stewardship forester will forward the paperwork to Oregon Department of Forestry in Salem, where your check will be prepared and mailed to you. Payment amount is the lesser of the percent cost-share (75%) times your actual cost, or the cost-share amount approved.

cc: Jim Hall - Florence

This program or activity will be conducted on a nondiscriminatory basis without regard to race, color, religion, national origin, age, sex, disability, political affiliation, sexual orientation, or marital or family status.

Control #	7144	

Oregon Department of Forestry / USDA Forest Service APPLICATION FOR COST-SHARES

ST-SHARE PROGRAMS	
which cost-share program are you applying for?	
× #1 - Recular Stawardship Plans	#4 - Hazard Miliartion Title IV
#2 - Nat! Fire Plan Stewardship Plans	#5 - Hive Mountains Demo Project
#3 - Nat! Fire Plan Multi-Resource Stawardship	
	#6 - Pacific Coast Demo Project
(approved stawardship plan required)	X #1 Stewardship Plan
	PCDA 2004 Stewardship
LANDOWNER INFORMATION (please print)	PHONE NUMBERS
Name: Larry M. Timmons	Harne: 425.641-4933
Address: 4904 116th Aug SE	Warte: 425.641-6631
Address: 4909 116th Ave. SE City, State, Zip: Bellevue, WA 9806-2723	Message/cell:
Ony, 000, 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19.	Pager Fax 425 . 641 - 7972
	Page: 147 423 - 041 - 7112
Do you have a forest stewardship plan?	SSN or EIN:
Do you own more than 1000 acres of eligible forestland in the	II. II. 4.61.
PROPERTY LOCATION	
Address if different from mailing address above: /75	
County: Lone Township: 175 R	tanger /2 W Section: 23 Tax Lot: /200
PROPOSED PROJECT	
Description of the proposed project including estimated acres;	38:
re-commise Decreementally thin appendicularly 12 acres to	lennous forest health and reduce lire hazard.)
I propose to develop a stewardship	1. E 93 oches to improve
I propose to develop a stewardship	plan for my
forest a wildlife habitat and reduce	a fine hazard
4 Whatite habital and reads	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
plan to start the gractice: Dec 200 5	plan to complete the practice: May 2006
(dalo)	(data)
LANDOWNER'S REQUEST	
I mentant contrainers assistance to most the objective of the quant ind	
	licated above. This precises would not be performed without
reduced and absolute If contrabation is approved for the practice rec	ficated above. This practice would not be performed without weeted, I agree to refund all or part of the funds paid to me as
Federal cost-charing. If cost-charing is approved for the practice req	precised, I agree to refund all or part of the terrial part to list the light the approved.
Federal cost-charing. If cost-charing is approved for the practice requirement by the Approving Official II, below explanation of the special control or fills in the land on white	precied, I agree to retund an or part of the tends pass to his telling precise diseases of 10 years, i (a) decircy the approved the flow assessed coacles has been established and the new owner.
Federal cost-charing. If cost-charing is approved for the practice requested in the Approving Official II, below explained of the specifics, or (b) voluntarily relinquish control or tile to the land on will practice, or (b) to the land on will be approved to the land on will be approved to the land on will be approved.	precise, I agree to retund an or part of the territor past of the lifety past of the suproved it the approved pastilize then been established and the new owner who course the pastilize for the newstander of the Steepart. I have not yet
Federal cost-charing. If cost-charing is approved for the practice requested in the Approving Official II, below explained of the specifics, or (b) voluntarily relinquish control or tile to the land on will practice, or (b) to the land on will be approved to the land on will be approved to the land on will be approved.	precise, I agree to retund an or part of the territor past of the lifety past of the suproved it the approved pastilize then been established and the new owner who course the pastilize for the newstander of the Steepart. I have not yet
Federal cost-charing. If cost-charing is approved for the practice requiremental by the Approving Official II, before expiration of the specifice, or (b) voluntarily relinquish control or tilln to the land on will and/or operator of the land does not agree in writing to properly main started this practice and I understand that if I begin the practice before	precise, I agree to retund an or part of the territor past of the lifety past of the suproved it the approved pastilize then been established and the new owner who course the pastilize for the newstander of the Steepart. I have not yet
Federal cost-charing. If cost-charing is approved for the practice requiremental by the Approving Cilicial II, before explanion of the specification, or (b) voluntarily relinquish control or tills to the hand on will and/or operator of the land does not agree in writing to properly main started this practice and I understand that If I begin the practice before representative of COF to have access to the practice site area.	precise, I agree to refund all or part of the lottes pass of his tellind practice the pan of 10 years, I (a) destroy the approved its the approved practice two been established and the new owner vision the practice for the remainder of its lifespan. I have not yet re-receiving written approved I may be deried funding. I authorize
Federal cost-charing. If cost-charing is approved for the practice requiremental by the Approving Cilicial II, before explanion of the specification, or (b) voluntarily relinquish control or tills to the hand on will and/or operator of the land does not agree in writing to properly main started this practice and I understand that If I begin the practice before representative of COF to have access to the practice site area.	precise, I agree to retund an or part of the territor past of the lifety past of the suproved it the approved pastilize then been established and the new owner who course the pastilize for the newstander of the Steepart. I have not yet
Federal cost-charing. If cost-charing is approved for the practice requiremental by the Approving Official II, before expination of the specifics, or (b) voluntarily retinquish control or tills to the land on whit and/or operator of the land does not agree in writing to properly make stand this practice and I understand that If I begin the practice before representative of OOF to bear access to the practice also area. **Leccurice** Uniforms** **Leccurice** Uniforms** **Leccurice** Uniforms** **Leccurice** Uniforms** **Leccurice** **Le	precise, I agree to refund all or part of the forces peed of the lab likel practice triespan of 10 years, I (a) dealtry the approved th the approved practice two been established and the new owner vision the practice for the remainder of the triespan. I have not yet re receiving written approved I may be decided funding. I authorize
Federal cost-charing. If cost-charing is approved for the practice requestional by the Approving Official II, below expination of the specifics, or (b) voluntarily retinquish control or tile to the land on whi and/or operator of the land does not agree in writing to properly make started this practice and I understand that If I begin the practice below a representative of OOF to have access to the practice site area. Leconomical Times of Sign	precised, I agree to refund all or part of the forms place to his telled practice trisspan of 10 years, I (a) destroy the approved ish the approved test been established and the new owner vision the practice for the remainder of the Bleepan. I have not yet re-receiving written approved I may be decided funding. I authorize Date
Federal cost-charing. If cost-charing is approved for the practice requestional by the Approving Official II, below expination of the specifics, or (b) voluntarily retinquish control or tile to the land on whi and/or operator of the land does not agree in writing to properly make started this practice and I understand that If I begin the practice below a representative of OOF to have access to the practice site area. Leconomical Times of Sign	precise, I agree to refund all or part of the lottes pass of his tellind practice the pan of 10 years, I (a) destroy the approved its the approved practice two been established and the new owner vision the practice for the remainder of its lifespan. I have not yet re-receiving written approved I may be deried funding. I authorize

T17S, R12W, Sec 23, TL1000 Stewardship Plan Page A1of 5

4	CE APPROVAL	PRACTICE APPROV		A LINE TO 1 Y	APPLICA			
Code	1	11mmons, Lar	mons, Larry M					RTHRED
110	Practice		Rate	# of Units Approved	Amount Approved	Units Completed	Landowner	Payme
110	New Steward of	p Plan Development	*	93Ac	1290	Conquesto	Cost	Amour
		Heren						
				THE REAL PROPERTY.	ı			
ERVICE F	ORESTER APPRO	VAL * \$1250+(al amount a	ipproved: \$	290	Total payme	nt amount:	
r Cost-Shar	res and project plan m	cost-sharing to perform the pra	cuce show	n above is ap	proved for t	he land ident	ified on the A	policatio
ade within .	in writing the Service i	20st-enaring to perform the pra ap. If you decide not to perfor Forester at once. Upon cartific	wies prac	uce, or ir you action comote	cannot com	plete it by the	expiration d	ate,
	1 .100	~//		couppe	non by the S	service Fores	ster, payment	shall be
Nice Foresters	signature	8/11/05		.5	141-9	97-8	713	
actice Exp	iration Date: 2	5-1-06		Ser	Mos Forester's	phone number	113	
		100						
ANDOWNE	R COMPLETION				-			
alve pay	ment or credit for com	Disting of this practice	_					
aive pay	ment or credit for com m along with cost docu	plation of this practice, anewer insortation (bills, receipts, time	the two qu	restions below	w. Date and	sign the Ca	rtification belo	W,
aive pay durn this for ove. Payme proved.	maint or credit for com malong with cost docu ant amount for a practic	pletion of this practice, answer mentation (bills, receipts, time se is the lesser of the percent of	the two qui logs, etc.) cost-share (estions below to the Service times the acts	w. Date and Forester b	sign the Cer	riffication beto	w.
proved.	a preside	a us the leaser of the percent of	coat-share	imes the act	sal project or	oet or the cor	e Expiration D M-chare amou	ate ent
proved. Did you bear	f all the expense (example)	a is the lesser of the percent of	cost-share	imes the act	al project o	out or the co	e Expension D M-share amou	ate ent
proved. Did you best er person(s)	r all the expense (exce	ppt for program cost-sharing) for	oat-share i	times the act	and project of	out or the cor	e Expiration D N-share amou	ate ent
Did you bear er person(s) During the co	or agency who bere a	opt for program cost-sharing) for my part of the expenses. Also	ost-share (or performir show kind,	imes the actions this practic codent, and the codent.	al project of	pet or the cor sport name(s	e Expiration D N-share amou	ate ent
proved. Did you bear er person(s) During the co	r all the expense (exce or agency who bore a urrent fiscal year Oct. this cost-share program	opt for program cost-sharing) for my part of the expenses. Also 1 - Sep. 30, do you have any is m? (If Yes, report the program	ost-share in performing show kind, tierest, dies	imes the actions the practice and art and action indirect amount of an amount of amount of amount of amount of amount of a	and project of the control of the co	pet or the con sport name(s contribution by that is or w	e Expression Date than amount of the second	ent s(es) of NO / /
proved. Did you bear er person(s) Dusing the coment under the RTIFICATION	r all the expense (size or agency who bore a sirrent fiscal year Oct.) this cost-share program	opt for program cost-sharing) for my part of the expenses. Also in Sep. 30, do you have any in (if Yes, report the program	or performir show kind, derest, dies name and	imes the actions the practice enderst, and victor indirect amount of each	and project of the project of the project of the project the project of the proje	port name(s contribution by that is or w 3 / No	e Expression Dates and address i.) YES / / 1988 be receiving D / /	ate ent s(es) of NO / /
proved. Oid you bear person(s) During the comment under the province that the property to the state of the	or all the expense (excellent all the expense (excellent all the expense of the cost-share program in BY LANDOWNER:)	opt for program cost-sharing) for my part of the expenses. Also it - Sep. 30, do you have any it m? (If Yee, report the program certify that the above information accordance with the practic	or performir show kind, sterest, dis- name and tion is true	imes the actions the practic solution, and victor indirect amount of example of the solutions and correct.	and project or	aport name(s contribution by that is or w by that the i	expiration D st-chare amou a) and address a) YES / / fill be receiving b) / / inits Complete	e(es) of NO / / g a
proved. Old you bear er person(s) During the coment under to RTIFICATION was that the person to the elect 10 years	or all the expense (excellent all the expense (excellent all the cost-share programments). It is cost-share programment and the service was performed and the service to infiling the service.	opt for program cost-sharing) for program cost-sharing) for my part of the expenses. Also it - Sep. 30, do you have any it m? (If Yes, report the program certify that the above information accordance with the practic Forester has determined that	or performing show kind, sterest, dies name and tion is true to epacification practically the practical true to the practical true true to the practical true true true true true true true true	imes the acti of this practic extent, and v act or indirect amount of ex and correct.	al project of ee? (If No, n relue of their it any entit sch.) YEs	aport name(s contribution by that is or w contribution by that the i, contribution	e Expiration D st-ename amou a) and address a) YES / / fill be receiving O / / Inits Complete a. I hereby ap	ate ant s(es) of NO / / g a and pply for
proved. Old you bear er person(s) During the coment under in RTIFICATION was that the person as to years material to years	or all the expense (excellent all the expense (excellent all the expense (excellent all this cost-share programmed exactice was parformed extended that the Service is following the year the las States Expenses is to a State Expenses.	opt for program cost-sharing) for program cost-sharing) for my part of the expenses. Also I - Sep. 30, do you have any in m? (If Yes, report the program certify that the above informal in accordance with the practic Forester has determined that in practice is completed. I agree	or performing show kind, therest, discussed in a me and then is true to expedite the practice to refund to refund	imes the acti of this practic extent, and of act or indirect amount of ex and correct. Ifons and off the been put of all or part of all	pal project of their particular of their particular of their particular of their particular of their program of their program of the control	aport name(s contribution by that is or w contribution by that the i contribution contribution by that the i contribution contribution contribution contribution contribution contribution contribution contribution contribution	e Expiration D st-ethare amou a) and address a) YES / / fill be receiving O / / Inits Complete a. Thereby ap Intuit his prace	etes of NO / / g a ed oply for tice for
proved. Old you bear er person(s) During the coment under in RTIFICATION was that the person as to years materially relined by the	or all the expense (excellent all the expense (excellent all the expense (excellent all this cost-share programmed extense that the Service is following the year the testing the state Forester, if beliefs control or state to the extense e	opt for program cost-sharing) for my part of the expenses. Also it - Sep. 30, do you have any it m? (If Yee, report the program certify that the above information accordance with the practic	or performing show kind, wherest, discussed, discussed, discussed in a me and then is true to expedite the practice to refund the bleeper	imes the acti of this practic extent, and of act or indirect amount of ex and correct. Ifons and off to has been per all or part of it appealled ab	pal project of the part of the	aport name(s contribution by that is or w contribution by that the i contribution by that the i contribution contribution by that the i contribution	e Expiration D st-ethare amou a) and address a) YES / / fill be receiving O / / Inits Complete a. Thereby ap Intuit his prace	etes of NO / / g a ed oply for tice for
Did you bear er person(e) During the comment under the RTIFICATION we that the person to the east 10 years without by the that the person to the east 10 years without by the that the person to the east 10 years the east 10 years the east 10 years that the person that the east 10 years 10 year	or all the expense (exceller or agency who bore a surrent fiscal year Oct. this cost-share program is a surrent fiscal year Oct. This cost-share program is a surrent fiscal year fiscal year that the Service is following the year the State Forester, if beluish control or tille to the tagree in writing to pro-	opt for program cost-sharing) for program cost-sharing) for my part of the expenses. Also I - Sep. 30, do you have any in m? (If Yes, report the program certify that the above informal in accordance with the practic forester has determined that in practice is completed. I agree fore the expiration of the practices is completed.	or performir show kind, derest, disc name and tion is true to epacifica the practica to the remain the remain	imes the acti of this practic extent, and of act or indirect amount of ex and correct. Ifons and off to has been per all or part of it appealled ab	ial project of their care (if No, in any entite ach.) YES I further care program erformed. I is the cost-chapter, I (a) de diched and the collect lifesp.	aport name(s contribution by that is or w contribution by that the i contribution by that the i contribution contribution by that the i contribution	e Expiration D st-ethare amou a) and address a) YES / / fill be receiving O / / Inits Complete a. Thereby ap Intuit his prace	etes of NO / / g a ed oply for tice for
Did you bear or person(e) During the comment under RTIFICATION was that the person to the east 10 years amined by the startly reting and does no	or all the expense (size or agency who bore a surrent fiscal year Oct. of this cost-share program in BY LANDOWNER: In reactice was performed sudent that the Service of following the year the testate Forester, if be uith control or tille to the stage of n writing to pre-	per to the lesser of the percent of the percent of the expenses. Also in January in the expenses. Also in January in the expenses. Also in January in the program of the program of the process of the expenses of the expenses. I agree fore the expiration of the practice is completed. I agree fore the expiration of the practice pearly maintain the practice for the expiration of the practice of the expiration of the practice of the expiration of the practice of the expiration of the practice for the expiration of the percent of the expiration of the practice for the expirat	ost-share in performing above tends, district,	imes the actions the practice extent, and was a second of the part of the part of the part of its specified able to been established of its specified able to the part of its sp	al project or se? (If No, n relue of their , in any entir ach.) YES I further cer ser program seformed. I ; the cost-cha ove, I (a) de liched and if acified lifesp	aport mame(s r contribution by that is or w 3 / NO Why that the it require monal re assistance actory the pra he new owners.	expiration D st-entare amount st-entare	etes of NO / / g a ed oply for tice for
Did you bear or person(s) During the coment under XTIFICATION we that the person to the east 10 years maked by the startly retings and does no	or all the expense (size or agency who bore a surrent fiscal year Oct. of this cost-share program in BY LANDOWNER: In reactice was performed sudent that the Service of following the year the testate Forester, if be uith control or tille to the stage of n writing to pre-	per to the lesser of the percent of the percent of the expenses. Also in January in the expenses. Also in January in the expenses. Also in January in the program of the program of the process of the expenses of the expenses. I agree fore the expiration of the practice is completed. I agree fore the expiration of the practice pearly maintain the practice for the expiration of the practice of the expiration of the practice of the expiration of the practice of the expiration of the practice for the expiration of the percent of the expiration of the practice for the expirat	ost-share in performing above tends, district,	imes the actions the practice extent, and was a second of the part of the part of the part of its specified able to been established of its specified able to the part of its sp	al project or se? (If No, n relue of their , in any entir ach.) YES I further cer ser program seformed. I ; the cost-cha ove, I (a) de liched and if acified lifesp	aport mame(s r contribution by that is or w 3 / NO Why that the it require morals re assistance actory the pra he new owners.	expiration D st-entare amount st-entare	etes) of NO / / g a ed uply for tice for
Did you bear er person(e) During the coment under TYTFICATION we that the person to the east 10 years mined by the startly reting and does no	or all the expense (size or agency who bore a surrent fiscal year Oct. of this cost-share program in BY LANDOWNER: In reactice was performed sudent that the Service of following the year the testate Forester, if be uith control or tille to the stage of n writing to pre-	as a trie lesser of the percent of the percent of the expenses. Also it – Sep. 30, do you have any it m? (If Yes, report the program cartify that the above informal it in accordance with the practite Forester has determined that it practice is completed. I agree fore the expiration of the practice between any interest of the transfer of the transfe	ost-share in performing above tends, district,	imes the actions the practice extent, and was a second of the part of the part of the part of its specified able to been established of its specified able to the part of its sp	al project or se? (If No, n relue of their , in any entir ach.) YES I further cer ser program seformed. I ; the cost-cha ove, I (a) de liched and if acified lifesp	aport mame(s r contribution by that is or w 3 / NO Why that the it require morals re assistance actory the pra he new owners.	expiration D st-entare amount st-entare	etes) of NO / / g a ed uply for tice for

Appendix B

American Forest Federation Tree Farm Inspection Record

Original Inspection Feb 1, 2006......Jim Smonet

Recertification inspection June 13, 2014 .. Steve Cafferata

Americ	an Forest Foundation	Reinspection Only: Recertification Pioneer Decertification
Tree Fa	rm Inspection Record	Initial Inspection Only: ☐ Deceased ☐ Sold ☐ Substandard
	AFF Form 604 Revised 3/10	☐ Pioneer ☐ Certified ☐ No Interest ☐ Missing Owner
State OR	Tree Farm Number 1049	*Field Inspection Date / /
		*Tree Farmer Interview Date / / Type: Field Phone Email
OR-1049	Generated: 01/08/2014	Tree Farmer
		Owner
Lawrence M Timm		Last or Organization Name First Name MI
4809 116th Ave SE		Address
Bellevue, WA 9800 425-641-6631	10-2123	OT To
100 011 0001		City ST Zip
		Phone E-Mail
COUNTY: Lane	ACRES: 94	Tree Farm
C/P: Certified LOCATION: Suttor	R/A: Absentee Lake Road NoRTH	
LOCATION. COMM	Land Hone Monthy	Ownership Private (Non-Industrief) Other
		Acreage County
		Departy Land Addrone*
INSP: Cafferata, St	lephen ID: 96649	Property Legal Address*
541-937-3114		Directions to Property
CERTIFIED:	LAST INSP: DUE:	CDS Constitutes
02/01/2006	02/01/2006 2014	GPS Coordinates
Recent Forest Ma	nagement Activity *	Absentee landowner? X Yes \(\square\) No
Harvest Method	Acres Comments	Inspecting Forester
Coppice		Name
☐ Even-Aged		Last Name First Name MI
Uneven-Aged		ID# Phone
Other		Email
Stand Improvement		Recommendations
☐ Thimning		
☐ Pruning		Harvest Method
Wegetation Control	15+ MOLUME, CUTTINE, SIGRAY	Stand Improvement PRINTED ALDER 4" + OBH
MOther PCT	3-10 ALDEA SSOMEDE	CONTINUE TO GONTROL CON-PETITION
teforestation Natural		Reforestation SITE PAEP SPRAY PAIDA TO PLANTING
Seeded/Planted	15 AC-WACRA-REHAB	IN ADEA SCADIFIED / PILED, SOME REMAINING MAYRE
Other		Protection PESSIBLE COVER PLES FATESUMMEN
Protection	ACCESS WHALDERS RUADS	PRIOD TO FALL BURNING
K Fire	Trees with 100 83 Kutos	Wildlife
⊠ Disease	ALT SPECIES PLANTED SIN	
Erosion Control	OLD CULVETT REMOVAL to	Recreation/Access
Vildlife	BRIDGES INSTALLED - 3	
Food Plots		Management Plan Location:
Shelter/Habitat		AT HOME IN BELLEVULE
Other lecreation/Access		
Trails/Roads	ROADS MONEY	Notes: CONTROL OF COMPETING VEGETATION WITH PLANTED CONTRAC COULD BE GETTER ACCOMPLISHED BY ADJUSTING
Scenic Value	AL NIC AGEA	HERBICIDE RECIPES AND TOMING OF APPLICATION.
Other	- FIE FUIC MACH	
Authorization State Approval		Date / / Certification Approved: Yes N
Regional Approval	A. a. 10 M.	Date // Certification Recommended: Yes N
Inspecting Foreste	Distriction a cappen	Date 6113114 Certification Recommended: Ves IN
Tree Farmer ² <	John topminen	Date 4 1/3 1 / 4
	Standards of Sustainability Standards Checilitist. Alance with all relevant laws/regulations and permits a	gents of ATFS ingress and agress for inspection/vertication purposes.
- = Optional Info * Mus	t fill out for required sample inspections	

Performance Measure / Indicator	YNN
(4.1.): Forest owner <u>must</u> have and implement a written forest management plan consistent with the size of the forest and the scale and intensity of the forest activities.	図口
11.1.1: Management plan must be active, adaptive, and embody the landowner's current objectives, remain appropriate for the land certified, and reflect the current state of knowledge about forestry and natural resource management.	MI
11.1.2: Management plans <u>must</u> include: clearly state landowner's objectives, describe desired forest condition, include management activities aimed at reaching the desired forest condition and landowner's objectives, document a feasible strategy for activity implementation, and include a tract map accurately depicting significant forest related resources.	MU
REQUIRED: Where present, and relevant to the property, the plan must address the following resource elements: forest health, soil, water, wood and fiber production, threatened and endangered species, special sites, invasive species, integrated pest management, and high conservation value forests.	
SUGGESTED: Where present, relevant to the property, and consistent with landowner's objectives, the plan preparer may consider, describe and evaluate the following resource elements: fire, wetlands, desired species (fish, wildlife and plant), recreation, aesthetic quality, biomass and car-	MOL
bon. 1.1.3: Forest owner should monitor for changes that could interfere with the management objectives as stated in management plan (e.g., presence of invasive species, pest outbreaks, and indications of trespass). When problems are found, reasonable actions are taken.	
Comments	
PM 2.1: Forest owner must comply with all relevant federal, state, county, and municipal laws, regulations, and ordinances.	
2.1.1: Forest owner must comply with all relevant laws, regulations and ordinances and will correct conditions that led to adverse regulatory actions, if any.	
2.1.2: Forest owner should obtain advice from appropriate professionals, or contractors who are trained in, and familiar with, relevant laws, equiations and ordinances.	MUL
Comments RAN PARTIQUEY WRITTEN BY CF, VISIT BY GOS JOHNSON	
24 3.1: Reforestation or afforestation <u>must</u> be achieved by a suitable process that ensures adequate stocking levels.	MIL
3.1.1: Harvested forest land must achieve adequate stocking of desired species reflecting the forest owner's management objectives, within five rears after harvest, or within a time interval as specified by applicable regulation.	
Comments ALL REFORTSTATION DONE AS REHAB	
24.1.: Forest owner must meet or exceed practices prescribed by State Forestry Best Management Practices (BMPs) that are applicable to the respect.	MOI
4.1.1: Forest owner <u>must</u> implement specific BMPs that are applicable to the property.	MOL
4.1.2: Forest owner <u>must</u> minimize road construction and other disturbances within riparian zones and wetlands.	MUL
Comments BRIDGES INSTALLED FOR CREEK CROSSINGS to	
PROTECT CREEK	
M.4.2: Forest owner must consider integrated pest management to control pests, pathogens and unwanted vegetation	MUL
4.2.1: Forest owner should evaluate alternatives to manage pest, pathogens and unwanted vegetation to achieve specific management bjectives.	
4.2.2: Pesticides used must be EPA-approved.	X D C
4.2.3: Pesticides must be applied, stored and disposed of in accordance with EPA-approved labels and by persons appropriately trained, licensed nd supervised.	
comments HERBICIDES APPLIED BY LANDOWNER.	
M 4.7: When steed prescribed fire reset conform with forcet numer's chicotions the forcet management also and are fee also size	MIDIT
22.2.2. This is don't, produced are mast current with turest owner 5 objectives, are unless than agenters plant and pre-life planting.	MUL
39.4.3: When used, prescribed fire <u>must</u> conform with forest owner's objectives, the forest management plan and pre-fire planning. 4.3.1: Prescribed fire <u>must</u> conform with the management plan and state and local laws and regulations.	(Second Second Second

American Forest Foundation Standards of Sustainability - Standards Checklist	
Performance Measure / Indicator	Y N N/A
M.5.1: Forest management activities must maintain or enhance habitat for threatened or endangered communities and species.	MOO
5.1.1: Forest owner <u>must</u> confer with natural resource agencies, state natural resource heritage programs or review other sources of information determine occurrences of threatened and endangered species on the property and their habitat requirements.	
5.1.2: Forest management activities <u>must</u> incorporate measures to protect identified threatened and endangered species.	COM
forments	
M.5.2: Forest owner should address the desired species (fish, aquatic, wildlife, and plant) and/or desired forest communities in the management an and forest management activities.	
5.2.1: Forest owner should consult available and accessible information on management of the forest for desired species (fish, aquatic, wildlife, and plant) and/or forest communities and integrate it loto forest management.	
Comments Consulted wh tob Johnson ODF, Jim Sunant Con SurTan,	
15.3: Forest owner should make practical efforts to prevent, eradicate or otherwise control invasive species.	800
3.1: Forest owner should make practical efforts to prevent, eradicate or otherwise control invasive species using a range of integrated pest anagement methods	
omments MOW, HAND CUT, PULLING, HERBICIDE-BASAL, FOLIAR	
1.5.4: Forest management activities should maintain or enhance rare species and high conservation value forests.	
4.1: Appropriate to the scale and intensity of the situation, forest management activities should incorporate measures to protect or mitigate pacts on rare species and identified high conservation value forests.	
process on their specials and quantimed right conservation value tolesis.	
4.6.1: Forest owner should manage the visual impacts of forest management activities consistent with the size of the forest, the scale and	
ensity of forest management activities and the location of the property.	MILIL
6.1.1: Forest management activities should apply visual quality measures compatible with appropriate silvicultural practices.	MOO
comments HWY 101 FRONTAGE TREES	
d. 7.1: Forest management activities must maintain special sites.	ППИ
J.1.1: Forest owner <u>must</u> make a reasonable effort to locate and protect special sites appropriate for the size of the forest and the scale and ensity of forest management activities.	MO
omments PIC MIC AREA	
1.8.1: Forest owner should use qualified natural resource professionals and qualified contractors when contracting for services.	KUU
1.1: Forest owner should seek qualified natural resource professionals and qualified contractors. 1.2: Forest owner should engage contractors that carry appropriate insurance and comply with appropriate federal, state and local safety and	
r labor rules, regulations and standard practices. CHECK ON INSURANCE 1.3: Forest owner should retain appropriate contracts for forest product harvests and other management activities to demonstrate conformance	
omments DIRECT PURCHASE W/O WRITTEN CONTRACT - BILLS RE	TO JED
FOR RECORDS	HINCH
18.2: Forest owner <u>must</u> monitor forest product harvests and other management activities to ensure they conform to the management plan activities.	MI
2.1: Harvest, utilization, removal and other management activities <u>must</u> be conducted in compliance with the management plan and maintain a potential of the property to produce forest products and other benefits sustainably.	KOD
ORDINATION TO HARVEST TO DATE.	
Landowner's Last Name - Time of S	

AMERICAN I OFFICE OUTGOIN	Remispection. Receitment Decentification Deceased
Tree Farm Inspection Record	Initial Inspection: ☐ Sold ☐ Substandard
AFF Form 004 Revised 1/04	☐ Pioneer 【Certified ☐ No Interest ☐ Missing Owner
	Field Inspection Date 2/1/2006 Tree Farmer Interview Date 2/1/2006 Type: ★Field □ Phone
aduate Pioneer to Certified	
Owns Multiple Tree Farms	Owner Timmons Lawrence M.
	Last or Organization Name First Name MI
	Address 4809 116th Ave. SE.
	70 // 2014 2014 201
	city Bellevue ST WA ZIP 98006-2723
	Phone E-Mail
	Tree Farm
10 4	Ownership Non-Industrial Private Municipal
10 may 7)	Public Other
S. Coloniano	Acreage 97 County Lane Location Legal: T/75, R/2 W , Sec 23 TL 1206
(EELI I	
	Local: Sutton Lake Rd
	Residence? Yes XNo
	Inspecting Forester
	Name Simonet James R
The state of the s	ID# 7233 Phone 541-935-1631
100	
	Email James, simplet @ att, net
	Employer Type: Industry State Consultant Federal Retired Other
	Employer Name: Simonet Forestry, ACF, Organization Name
Forest Management Activity (since last inspection)	Recommendations (next inspection cycle)
Type Acres / Comments	
Harvest Method	Harvest Method 10 harvest for 14 years, they Regeneration @ 40 = 60 years
☐ Uneven-Aged	Stand Improvement pile, scan by 9 plant 45 ac
Other Total Volume MBF	
Stand Improvement Thinning	Reforestation replant 45 acres of scarification
Pruning	
☐ Fertilization☐	Protection main thin & brush road s
☐ Other	
Reforestation	Wildlife construct, wildlife - fire pond.
☐ Other	Constanct bird 9, but Jouses.
Protection	Recreation/Access Maintain & brush roads
☐ Disease	
☐ Erosion Control	Notes: Return to me, Please
Wildlife Food Plots	Notes: Return to me Please
☐ Shelter/Habitat	
Recreation/Access Trails/Roads	
☐ Scenic Value	
Authorization	
State Approval	Date/ Certification Approved: Yes N
P innal Approval	Date/ Certification Recommended:Yes N
Jana P Sungat	Date 2 / 15 / 2006 Certification Recommended: Yes N
911	7 17700
Tree Farmer2 Tawrence W7 smmb	Date CITIEUCLE
 See last page for AFF Standards of Sustainability Auditor Verification Form Signature affirms compliance with all relevant laws/regulations and permit 	n. s agents of ATFS ingress and egress for inspection/verification purposes.
a. Ognacia dunino computato mai anteresa terrariogunacia and porme	
	AFF COPY 03884

American Tree Farm System®

The mission of the American Tree Farm System is to promote while protecting environmental benefits and increasing public understanding of all benefits of productive forestry. the growing of renewable forest resources

Members of the American Tree Farm System manage their lands Standards of Sustainability for Forest Management. in accordance with American Forest Foundation

LAWRENCE M. TIMMONS

Tree Farm Number: OR ~ 1049 Initial Certification Date: February 1, 2006 Recertification Date: June 13, 2014

Mettina K. Hing

Bettina K. Ring

Senior Vice President, Family Forests

Joseph J. Holmberg, Chair, Oregon Tree Farm Committee

Joyn & Henry

ATFS is Endorsed by PEFC

PEFC



American Tree Farm System®

2000 M Street, NW, Suite 550, Washington, DC 20036 Phone: (202) 765-3579

August 1, 2014

Lawrence Timmons 4809 116 Ave SE Bellevue WA 98006-2723

State Tree Farm Number: OR ~ 1049

Tree Farm County: Lane Tree Farm acreage: 94

Initial Certification date: February 1, 2006 Recertification date: July 13, 2014

Dear Lawrence:

Congratulations on your American Tree Farm System recertification. A qualified forester has visited your property and found it to be in compliance with the American Forest Foundation's Standards of Sustainability. This is no easy accomplishment and you should be proud of your achievement.

Please keep all your Tree Farm records including this letter, forest management plans, re-inspection forms, and timber harvest or forest improvement documents handy to assist your Tree Farm Inspector on future visits. For more information on the inspection process, please contact your State Committee representative, or visit the American Tree Farm System website at www.treefarmsystem.org.

As a Certified Tree Farmer, we encourage you to proudly display the Tree Farm sign on your property and receive the recognition you deserve for your outstanding stewardship. If you don't have a nationally recognized green and white Tree Farm sign or you are in need of a new one, please contact the inspector Steve Cafferata, or the State Committee Chair, Joe Holmberg at preedings. displayed the Tree Farm sign or you are in need of a new one, please contact the inspector Steve Cafferata, or the State Committee Chair, Joe Holmberg at preedings. displayed the Tree Farm sign on your property and receive the recognition you deserve for your outstanding stewardship. If you don't have a nationally recognized green and white Tree Farm sign or you are in need of a new one, please contact the inspector Steve Cafferata, or the State Committee Chair, Joe Holmberg at preedings. displayed the Tree Farm sign or you are in need of a new one, please contact the inspector Steve Cafferata, or the State Committee Chair, Joe Holmberg at preedings. displayed the Tree Farm sign or you are in need of a new one, please contact the inspector Steve Cafferata, or the State Committee Chair, Joe Holmberg at preedings. displayed the Tree Farm sign or you are in need of a new one, please contact the inspector Steve Cafferata, or the State Committee Chair, Joe Holmberg at preedings. displayed the Tree Farm sign or you are in need of a new one, please contact the state of th

Again, thank you for your continued involvement in the American Tree Farm System and your commitment to sound forest management.

Sincerely,

Bettina K. Ring

Senior Vice President, Forestry Programs

Settina K. King

American Forest Foundation

Appendix C

2005/2006 Oregon Forestland Taxes, Assessments and Credits



200... J6 OREGON FORESTLAND TAXES, ASSESSMENTS AND CREDITS

=
5
ditio
5
Ш
10
2005
ō
2
>
3
?
1
\geq
ally
nal
inual
annual
anung-
ni-annual
emi-annual
semi-annual
semi
semi
ated semi-annual
semi
semi

LAW REFERENCE	PURPOSE	APPLIES TO	TYPE OF TAX/ASSESSMENT	ADMIN. BY
FORESTLAND				
ORS 321.347-390 ORS 321.805-855	Revenues paid as property taxes will provide local revenue.	Owners of 2 acres or more of forestland. Must apply by April 1st the county assessor. All land classified as either highest and best use forestland or currently qualified as designated forestland automatically goes into this program beginning July 1, 2004.	Land Tax: Land is taxed at a special rate that is based on the typical price paid for land managed for the production of harvestable timber. Detailed program information is available at: http://egov.oregon.gov/DOR/TIMBER/index.shtml	County Assessors
Small Tract Forestland Option ORS 321.700-722	Provide an optional property tax payment method for small tract owners.	Created by the 2003 Legislature this assessment is effective July 1, 2004. Owners of 10 to 5,000 acres of forestland in Oregon are eligible. Other limitations apply for lands classified under the Small Tract Forestland option.	Land Tax: Annual property tax based on 20% of forestland special assessment land values.	County Assessors
Small Tract Severance Tax ORS 321.726-754	Recover property taxes forgone	Severance Tax applies to timber harvested after January 2004 that is assessed under this option. For more info see web link below: http://egov.oregon.gov/DOR/TIMBER/index.shtml	Severance Tax: Intended to recover projected unpaid property tax in year timber harvests occur. Rate is recalculated each year in June. Estimate of tax for 2006 is current rate plus 3%. Check Department of Revenue web site in June or look for the update to this reference in July for actual rate.	Department of Revenue
			Eastern Oregon rate - \$3.12 per MbF (2003 rate) Western Oregon rate - \$4.00 per MBF (2005 rate)	
Farm Wood Lot ORS 308A.056(3)(h)	Provide local revenue.	Owners of land taxed as farmland may put up to 20 acres of contiguous timbered land into the farm special assessment programs.	Land Tax: Land is taxed at a special rate that is based on the ability of the land to support farm products.	County Assessors
Forest Products Harvest Tax		Timber harvested from <u>all</u> public and private lands.	First 25 MBF harvested by taxpayer excluded.	
ORS 321.015	OSU Forest Research Lab	Rates for Calendar year 2005 Taxed per thousand board feet = MBF	67¢ per MBF	Department of Revenue
ORS 321,015	Forestland Protection Fund		50¢ per MBF 522.5 million or less	
		×	 Reduced 50% when fund is between \$22.5 million and \$30 million 	
			 Not assessed when fund exceeds \$30 million 	
ORS 321.015	Forest Practices Act		79¢ per MBF	
ORS 321.017	Oregon Forest Resources Institute		89¢ per MBF	
Rates apply for calendar year 2005	year 2005		\$2.85 per MBF = TOTAL	
Charles on the state of the state of	CC			Revised September 8, 2005

T17S, R12W, Sec 23, TL1000 Forest Stocking Survey Page C



2005., J6 OREGON FORESTLAND TAXES, ASSESSMENTS AND CREDITS (Updated semi-annually – July 2005 Edition)

1	er lot. County Assessors lan and Department of Forestry	on district scted. For and.		County Assessors and Department of Forestry	icre r acre een lion	n or less between	0 million	0 million or less :22.5	0 million or less 22.5 t0 million	0 million or less 22.5 22.5 0 million of million Forestry action Forestry 12006
TYPE OF TAX/ASSESSMENT	Not currently being assessed. Up to \$25 per lot Amount set in accordance with an annual plan approved by a committee of local forest landowners.	Per acre rate, determined by forest protection district budget divided by the number of acres protected. For private lands, 50% is paid by the General Fund.	\$15 per lot	Oregon Forest Land Protection Fund	-Rates when fund is \$22.5 million or less ■ Eastern Oregon lands - 6¢ per acre ■ Western Oregon forestland - 4¢ per acre ■ Western Oregon grazing land - 6¢ per acre -Rates reduced by 50% when fund is between \$22.5 million and \$30 million -Not assessed when fund exceeds \$30 million	\$38 per lot when fund is \$22.5 million or less Rates reduced by 50% when fund is between	\$22.5 million and \$30 million Not assessed when fund exceeds \$30	\$22.5 million and \$30 million Not assessed when fund exceeds \$30 million \$3 per lot when fund is \$22.5 million or less \$1.50 per lot when fund is between \$22.5 million and \$30 million	\$22.5 million and \$30 million Not assessed when fund exceeds \$30 million \$3 per lot when fund is \$22.5 million or less \$1.50 per lot when fund is between \$22.5 million and \$30 million Not assessed when fund exceeds \$30 million	\$22.5 million and \$30 million Not assessed when fund exceeds \$30 million \$3 per lot when fund is \$22.5 million or less \$1.50 per lot when fund is between \$22.5 million and \$30 million Not assessed when fund exceeds \$30 million State income tax credit for 50% of eligible forest establishment costs. Per 2005 legislative action the Department will begin charging a fee in 2006 for processing tax credits to cover administrative expenses.
APPLIES TO	Fire Patrol Assessment surcharge on lands protected by the Department of Forestry and which have been classified as forestland-urban interface. Applies in forest protection districts which have activated the surcharge, to help pay the special or additional costs of	protection to such lands. Fire Patrol Assessment on lands protected by the Department of Forestry.	All Oregon Department of Forestry protected forest and grazing land where district assessment would be less than the minimum.		Acreage Assessment on lands protected by the Department of Forestry:	Fire Patrol Assessment on lands protected by the Department of Forestry and which contain improvements.		Minimum lot assessment.	Minimum lot assessment. Minimum lot assessment.	ORS 477.295 Minimum lot assessment. Rates effective for fiscal year beginning July 1, 2005 through June 30,2006. 50% Forestation Credit Encourage For more information on program details go to: forestation of http://egov.oregon.gov/ODF/PRIVATE FORESTS/haxes. shtml#Tlax Credit
PURPOSE	au	Fire Protection F	Minimum Lot A	Emergency Fire Funding					or horizaina Irlu 1	ear beginning July 1, 2 Encourage forestation of underproductive forestland.
LAW REFERENCE		ORS 477.205 - 477.291	ORS 477.295	Emergency Fire Protection Assessment	ORS 477.880	ORS 477.277		ORS 477.295	ORS 477.295	ORS 477.295 Rates effective for fiscal yee 50% Forestation Credit ORS 315.104

Appendix **D**: Stocking Survey

Lawrence M. Timmons Property FOREST STOCKING SURVEY

94 Acres of Forestland Located in T 17 S, R 12 W, SECTION 23 TAX LOT 1200

Prepared For

Lawrence and Patricia Timmons 4809 116TH AVE. SE BELLEVUE, WA 98006-2723 OFFICE 425-641-6631 FAX 425-641-7972 HOME 425-641-4933 E-MAIL aeswhq@comcast.net

JANUARY 2006

JIM SIMONET FORESTRY,ACF, SAF CERTIFIED CF # 621, 25977 GIRL SCOUT RD., VENETA, OR 97487 PHONE: 541-935-1631, E-mail: james.simonet@att.net

2

<u>LOCATION:</u> THIS PROPERTY INCLUDES 94 ACRES OF FORESTLAND LOCATED 6 MILES NORTH OF FLORENCE, OREGON,ON HIGHWAY 101 AND SUTTON LAKE ROAD.

STOCKING DESCRIPTION: THERE ARE 15 ACRES OF TYPE 1 REPRODUCTION ESTABLISHED IN 2004. THE REPRODUCTION CONTAINS 400 WELL ESTABLISHED CEDAR AND ALDER SEEDLINGS PER ACRE AND AN OVERSTORY OF, 25% DOUGLAS -FIR, 74% ALDER AND 1% SPRUCE SAPLINGS AND OLDER TIMBER. THIS OVERSTORY CONTAINS 164 TREES PER ACRE RANGING IN AGE FROM 20 TO 45 YEARS.

THE REMAINING AREA, TYPE 2 AND 3, CONTAINS A MIXTURE OF, 43% DOUG-FIR, 51% ALDER RANGING BETWEEN 20 AND 45 YEARS. THIS STAND CONTAINS 576 TREES PER ACRE. THIS EXCEEDS THE STATE MINIMUM OF 120 SAPLINGS PER ACRE THEREFORE, THIS STAND IS FULLY STOCKED WITH TREES THAT WILL SUPPORT A FUTURE COMMERCIAL TIMBER STAND.

ACREAGE: THE PROPERTY LINES HAVE BEEN SURVEYED. ACREAGE WAS DETERMINED WITH AN AERIAL PHOTO, A COUNTY ASSESSOR'S MAP.

SURVEY DESCRIPTION: THIS PROPERTY WAS EXAMINED BY JIM SIMONET USING SUPER A.C.E. FIX PLOT PROGRAM. A TOTAL OF 26, HUNDREDTH ACRE COUNT PLOTS WERE TAKEN 200 FT. APART AROUND THE PERIMETER OF THE PROPERTY.

SITE INDEX: THE SITE INDEX RANGED BETWEEN 100 AND 140 THROUGHOUT MOST OF THE PROPERTY BASED ON A 50 YEAR SITE INDEX. THIS MEANS THAT THE SOIL WILL SUPPORT A COMMERCIAL TIMBER STAND.

RECOMMENDATIONS: TO IMPROVE TREE HEALTH AND REDUCE DANGEROUS LEVELS OF FUEL BUILD UP I RECOMMEND REMOVING THE BRUSH AND SCOTCH BROOM. AREAS OF HEAVY TIMBER SHOULD ALSO BE THINNED TO SPACING OF 20 FEET BETWEEN TREES LEAVING THE LARGEST WIND FIRM TREES. IT MAY BE NECESSARY TO USE ROUNDUP TO CONTROL THE BRUSH AND GRASS IN AREAS OF YOUNGER TREES.

TIMMONS FAMILY TREE FARM

T 17 S, R 1 W, SECTION 23


LANE COUNTY, OREGON

SCALE: 1 INCH = 712 FEET

94 ACRES

JANUARY 2006

TIMBER TYPE MAP





TIMBER TYPE BOUNDARY ____ 0 ___

SIMONET FORESTRY, ACF., SAF CERTIFIED, CF # 621, 25977 GIRL SCOUT RD., VENETA, OR 97487 PHONE 541-935-1631, E-mail: james.simonet@att.net

C PS	TATS					PROJECT S		MM06			PAGE DATE	1 1/11/200
WP	RGE	SC	TRACT		ГҮРЕ			CRES	PLOTS	TREES	CuFt	BdFt
17S	12W 12W	23 23	TIMMON		0001			76.00	26	153		
					0005			ESTIMATED		ERCENT		
		,	PLOTS	TREES		TREES		TOTAL		SAMPLE		
TOTA	T.		26		Holes H	PER PLOT		TREES		TREES		
CRUI			26	153		5.9						
	REST		22	153		7.0		46,044		.3		
BLAN 100 %	IKS		4									
					ST	TAND SUM	MARY	t .				
		S	MPLE	TREES	AVG	BOLE	REL	BASAL	GROSS	NET	GROSS	NET
			TREES	/ACRE	DBH	LEN	DEN	AREA	BF/AC	BF/AC	CF/AC	CF/AC
DOUG	G FIR	ATE	49	237.8								
R ALI			88	324.4								
WRC	EDAR		11	15.5								
S SPR	UCE		2	8.1								
LP PIN	NE		3	20.0								
TOT	AL		153	605.8								
			COPPE				Belos					
en.			COEFF	OFA		SAMPLE			#	OF TREES		NF. POP.
SD: DOUG	1 2 EID	- Lool B	VAR.%	S.E.%		LOW	AVG	HIGH		5	10	1
S SPR LP PIN TOT/	NE											
			COEFF			SAMPLE	TREE	S - CF	#	OF TREES	REQ. 1	NF. POP.
SD:			VAR.%	S.E.%		LOW	AVG	HIGH		5	10	1
DOUG R ALI WR C S SPR LP PIN TOTA	DER EDAR UCE NE											
			COEFF			TREES//	CRE		#	OF PLOTS	REO. 1	NF. POP.
SD:	-1		VAR.%	S.E.%			AVG	HIGH		5	10	1
DOUC	_		162.1	31.8		162	238	313	area e			
R ALE	DER		171.0	33.5		216	324	433				
WR C	EDAR		268.9	52.7		7	15	24				
S SPR			426.9	83.7		1	8	15				
LP PIN			373.9	73.3		5	20	35				
TOT	AL		121.2	23.8		462	606	750		588	147	6.
			COEFF			BASAL	REA/A	CRE	#	OF PLOTS I	REQ. 1	NF. POP.
	- 1		VAR.%	S.E.%	les ber		AVG	HIGH		5	10	1
SD:												
DOUG R ALL WR C S SPR LP PIN	NE											
SD: DOUG R ALL WR C S SPR LP PIN	UCE NE		COEEE			NET DE	ACRE			OF BLOTE	PEO 1	NE POP
DOUG R ALL WR C S SPR LP PIN	UCE NE AL		COEFF VAR.%	S.E.%		NET BF/		HIGH	#	OF PLOTS I		NF. POP.

TC TSTATS	S					ST. PROJEC	ATIST	TICS 1TIMM06			PAGE DATE	1 1/11/2006
TWP RO	GE	SECT	TRA	CT		TYPE	AC	CRES	PLOTS	TREE		
17S 12	2W	23	TIM	IMON		0001	15	0.00	14	79		
								ESTIMATED		PERCENT		
						TREES		TOTAL		SAMPLE		
		PLOTS		TREES		PER PLOT		TREES		TREES		
TOTAL		14		79		5.6	Section 1		08(3)		STARTE	
CRUISE												
DBH COU	INT											
REFORES	T	12		79		6.6						
COUNT												
BLANKS		2										
100 %					Seni-							
					ST	AND SUM	MARY					
		SAMPLE		TREES	AVG	BOLE	REL	BASAL	GROSS	S NET	GROS	SS NET
		TREES		/ACRE	DBH	LEN	DEN	AREA	BF/AC	BF/A	C CF/A	C CF/AC
DOUG FIR	3	17	7	121.3		N. C. S.						
R ALDER		50		356.9								
WR CEDA		11		78.5								
S SPRUCE	5	7/		7.1								
TOTAL		75	'	563.8								
		COL	FF			SAMPLI	ETREES	S - BF		# OF TREE	ES REQ.	INF. POP.
SD: 1	1	VAI	2.%	S.E.%		LOW	AVG	HIGH		5	10	1
						20,00,00						
DOUG FIR												
R ALDER				,								
R ALDER WR CEDA	AR		4	arry			proj					
R ALDER	AR		4	arry		ac,	prop					
R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE	AR	COF		arry		ac,		o.		# OF TREE		INF POP
R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL	AR E	COF	FF		- 94	ac ,	E TREES	O. S-CF		# OF TREI	ES REQ.	INF. POP.
R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE	AR E	COE	FF	Larry S.E.%	- 94	ac,	E TREES	o.		# OF TREI		INF. POP.
R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1	AR E		FF		- 94	ac ,	E TREES	O. S-CF			ES REQ.	
R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA	AR E		FF		- 94	ac ,	E TREES	O. S-CF			ES REQ.	
R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE	AR E		FF		- 94	ac ,	E TREES	O. S-CF			ES REQ.	
R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA	AR E		FF		- 94	ac ,	E TREES	O. S-CF			ES REQ.	
R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL	AR E I R	COF	EFF R.%	S.E.%	- 94	SAMPLI LOW	E TREES	S - CF HIGH		# OF PLO	ES REQ. 10	INF. POP.
R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL	AR E I R AR E	COL	EFF R.%	S.E.%	- 94	SAMPLI LOW TREES//	E TREES AVG	S - CF HIGH		5	ES REQ. 10	1
R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR	AR E I R AR E	COE VAI 183.	EFF R.%	S.E.% S.E.% 49.0	- 94	SAMPLI LOW TREES//	ACRE AVG 121	S-CF HIGH HIGH 181		# OF PLO	ES REQ. 10	INF. POP.
R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1	AR E I R	COE VAI 183. 192.	EFF R.% 2 7	S.E.%	- 94	SAMPLI LOW TREES//	ACRE AVG 121 357	S-CF HIGH HIGH 181 541		# OF PLO	ES REQ. 10	INF. POP.
R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER	I R R I R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	COE VAI 183.	EFF R.% 2 7	S.E.% S.E.% 49.0 51.5	- 94	SAMPLI LOW TREES// LOW 62 173	ACRE AVG 121	S-CF HIGH HIGH 181		# OF PLO	ES REQ. 10	INF. POP.
R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA	I R R I R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	COF VAI 183. 192. 188.	EFF R.% EFF R.% 2 7 0 2	S.E.% S.E.% 49.0 51.5 50.2	- 94	SAMPLI LOW TREES// LOW 62 173	ACRE AVG 121 357 79	HIGH 181 541 118		# OF PLO	ES REQ. 10	INF. POP.
R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE S SPRUCE S SPRUCE S SPRUCE	I R R I R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	COF VAI 183. 192. 188. 374.	EFF 2% 2 2 7 7 0 2 2 9 9	S.E.% S.E.% 49.0 51.5 50.2 100.0	- 94	**SAMPLI LOW** **TREES// LOW** 62 173 39 350	ACRE AVG 121 357 79 7 564	HIGH 181 541 118 14 778		# OF PLOT 5	ES REQ. 10 15 REQ. 10	INF. POP.
R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE S SPRUCE S SPRUCE S SPRUCE	I I RR AAR EE	COF VAI 183. 192. 188. 374.	EFF 3.% EFF 2.% 2 7 0 0 2 2 9	S.E.% S.E.% 49.0 51.5 50.2 100.0	- 94	SAMPLI LOW TREES/A LOW 62 173 39 350 BASAL	ACRE AVG 121 357 79 7 564	HIGH 181 541 118 14 778		# OF PLO	ES REQ. 10 15 REQ. 10	INF. POP.
R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL	I I R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	COF VAI 183. 192. 188. 374. 141. 1	EFF 3.% EFF 2.% 2 7 0 0 2 2 9	S.E.% S.E.% 49.0 51.5 50.2 100.0 37.9	- 94	SAMPLI LOW TREES/A LOW 62 173 39 350 BASAL	ACRE AVG 121 357 79 7 564 AREA/A	HIGH 181 541 118 14 778		# OF PLOT 5	ES REQ. 10 TS REQ. 10 201 TS REQ.	INF. POP.
R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL DOUG FIR R ALDER R ALDER R ALDER R ALDER R ALDER R ALDER	I R AR E E I R R AR E E	COF VAI 183. 192. 188. 374. 141. 1	EFF 3.% EFF 2.% 2 7 0 0 2 2 9	S.E.% S.E.% 49.0 51.5 50.2 100.0 37.9	- 94	SAMPLI LOW TREES/A LOW 62 173 39 350 BASAL	ACRE AVG 121 357 79 7 564 AREA/A	HIGH 181 541 118 14 778		# OF PLOT 5	ES REQ. 10 TS REQ. 10 201 TS REQ.	INF. POP.
R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL	AR EE I R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	COF VAI 183. 192. 188. 374. 141. 1	EFF 3.% EFF 2.% 2 7 0 0 2 2 9	S.E.% S.E.% 49.0 51.5 50.2 100.0 37.9	- 94	SAMPLI LOW TREES/A LOW 62 173 39 350 BASAL	ACRE AVG 121 357 79 7 564 AREA/A	HIGH 181 541 118 14 778		# OF PLOT 5	ES REQ. 10 TS REQ. 10 201 TS REQ.	INF. POP.
R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE	AR EE I R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	COF VAI 183. 192. 188. 374. 141. 1	EFF 3.% EFF 2.% 2 7 0 0 2 2 9	S.E.% S.E.% 49.0 51.5 50.2 100.0 37.9	- 94	SAMPLI LOW TREES/A LOW 62 173 39 350 BASAL	ACRE AVG 121 357 79 7 564 AREA/A	HIGH 181 541 118 14 778		# OF PLOT 5	ES REQ. 10 TS REQ. 10 201 TS REQ.	INF. POP.
R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL	AR EE I R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	COF VAI 183. 192. 188. 374. 141.3	EFF 2.% 27 70 22 99	S.E.% S.E.% 49.0 51.5 50.2 100.0 37.9	- 94	SAMPLI LOW TREES/A LOW 62 173 39 350 BASAL	ACRE AVG 121 357 79 7 564 AREA/A	HIGH 181 541 118 14 778		# OF PLOT 5	ES REO. 10 IS REO. 10 201 IS REQ. 10	INF. POP.
R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL	AR EE	COF VAI 183. 192. 188. 374. 141. COF VAI	EFF R.% 2 7 7 0 2 2 9 9 EFF R.%	S.E.% S.E.% 49.0 51.5 50.2 100.0 37.9 S.E.%	- 94	SAMPLI LOW TREES// LOW 62 173 39 350 BASAL / LOW	ACRE AVG 121 357 79 7 564 AREA/A	HIGH 181 541 118 14 778 CRE HIGH		# OF PLOT 5 805 # OF PLOT 5	ES REQ. 10 TS REQ. 10 201 TS REQ. 10	INF. POP. 8 INF. POP. 1
R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1	AR E E I I R R R AR E E I I R R R AR E E I I R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	COF VAI 183. 192. 188. 374. 141.3	EFF R.% 2 7 7 0 2 2 9 9 EFF R.%	S.E.% S.E.% 49.0 51.5 50.2 100.0 37.9	- 94	SAMPLI LOW TREES// LOW 62 173 39 350 BASAL / LOW	ACRE AVG 121 357 79 564 AREA/A	HIGH 181 541 118 14 778		# OF PLOT 5	ES REO. 10 IS REO. 10 201 IS REQ. 10	INF. POP.
R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL	AR EE II R	COF VAI 183. 192. 188. 374. 141. COF VAI	EFF R.% 2 7 7 0 2 2 9 9 EFF R.%	S.E.% S.E.% 49.0 51.5 50.2 100.0 37.9 S.E.%	- 94	SAMPLI LOW TREES// LOW 62 173 39 350 BASAL / LOW	ACRE AVG 121 357 79 7 564 AREA/A	HIGH 181 541 118 14 778 CRE HIGH		# OF PLOT 5 805 # OF PLOT 5	ES REQ. 10 TS REQ. 10 201 TS REQ. 10	INF. POP. 8 INF. POP. 1
R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL	AR EE II R AR EE II R AR EE II R AR EE	COF VAI 183. 192. 188. 374. 141. COF VAI	EFF R.% 2 7 7 0 2 2 9 9 EFF R.%	S.E.% S.E.% 49.0 51.5 50.2 100.0 37.9 S.E.%	- 94	SAMPLI LOW TREES// LOW 62 173 39 350 BASAL / LOW	ACRE AVG 121 357 79 7 564 AREA/A	HIGH 181 541 118 14 778 CRE HIGH		# OF PLOT 5 805 # OF PLOT 5	ES REQ. 10 TS REQ. 10 201 TS REQ. 10	INF. POP. 8 INF. POP. 1
R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 DOUG FIR R ALDER WR CEDA S SPRUCE TOTAL	AR E I R R R AR E I R R R AR E I R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	COF VAI 183. 192. 188. 374. 141. COF VAI	EFF R.% 2 7 7 0 2 2 9 9 EFF R.%	S.E.% S.E.% 49.0 51.5 50.2 100.0 37.9 S.E.%	- 94	SAMPLI LOW TREES// LOW 62 173 39 350 BASAL / LOW	ACRE AVG 121 357 79 7 564 AREA/A	HIGH 181 541 118 14 778 CRE HIGH		# OF PLOT 5 805 # OF PLOT 5	ES REQ. 10 TS REQ. 10 201 TS REQ. 10	INF. POP. 8 INF. POP. 1

			ı	STATI	ISTICS 1TIMM06			PAGE DATE 1	1 /11/2006
TWP RGE	SECT T	RACT	Marine Barriero	TYPE	ACRES	PLOTS	TREES	CuFt	BdFt
17S 12W	23 T	IMMONS	0	003	61 0.00	12	74		
			TD	EES	ESTIMATED TOTAL		PERCENT		
	PLOTS	TREES		R PLOT	TREES		TREES		
TOTAL	12	74	11.	6.2	IKEES		IKEES		
CRUISE				0.2					
DBH COUNT									
REFOREST	10	74		7.4					
COUNT									
BLANKS	2								
100 %									
			STANI	D SUMMAI	RY				
	SAMPLE	TREES	AVG B	OLE RE	L BASAL	GROSS	NET	GROSS	NET
	TREES	/ACRE	DBH	LEN DE	N AREA	BF/AC	BF/AC	CF/AC	CF/AC
R ALDER	38	316.4				BUILDING			
DOUG FIR	32	266.5							
LP PINE S SPRUCE	3	25.0 8.3							
TOTAL	74	616.2							
					AUGINAL STATE	I STATE			
CD. 1	COEFF			AMPLE TR		#	OF TREES		INF. POP.
SD: 1 R ALDER	VAR.%	6 S.E.%	LOV	AVC	G HIGH		5	10	1:
DOUG FIR									
LP PINE									
S SPRUCE									
TOTAL									
	COEFF		S	AMPLE TR	EES - CF	#	OF TREES	REO.	INF. POP.
SD: 1	VAR.%	6 S.E.%	LOW	/ AVC	G HIGH		5	10	15
R ALDER									
DOUG FIR LP PINE									
S SPRUCE		Larry	- 94	tae 1	orop.				
TOTAL		0		/					
	COFFE		Т	REES/ACDI			OF PLOTS	PEO	INE POP
SD: 1	COEFF VAR.%		LOW	REES/ACRI		#	OF PLOTS		INF. POP.
R ALDER			LOW		G HIGH	#		REQ. 10	INF. POP.
R ALDER DOUG FIR	VAR.% 131.1 102.8	S.E.% 37.9 29.7	LOW 19	7 AVC 97 316 87 266	3 HIGH 436 346	#			
R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE	VAR.% 131.1 102.8 248.6	S.E.% 37.9 29.7 71.8	LOW 19	7 AVC 97 316 87 266 7 25	346 436 346 43	#			
R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE	VAR.% 131.1 102.8 248.6 346.4	5.E.% 37.9 29.7 71.8 100.0	LOW 19	7 AVC 97 316 87 266 7 25 8	G HIGH 436 346 43 17	#	5	10	15
R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE	VAR.% 131.1 102.8 248.6 346.4 77.3	6 S.E.% 37.9 29.7 71.8 100.0 22.3	LOW 19 18	7 AVC 97 316 87 266 7 25 8 89 616	3 HIGH 436 346 43 17 754		239	10	27
R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE TOTAL	VAR.% 131.1 102.8 248.6 346.4 77.3 COEFF	6 S.E.% 37.9 29.7 71.8 100.0 22.3	LOW 19 18 47 B	7 AVC 97 316 87 266 7 25 8 79 616 ASAL AREA	3 HIGH 436 346 43 17 754		5 239 F OF PLOTS	60 REQ.	27 INF. POP.
R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1	VAR.% 131.1 102.8 248.6 346.4 77.3	6 S.E.% 37.9 29.7 71.8 100.0 22.3	LOW 19 18	7 AVC 97 316 87 266 7 25 8 79 616 ASAL AREA	3 HIGH 436 346 43 17 754		239	10	27
R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 R ALDER	VAR.% 131.1 102.8 248.6 346.4 77.3 COEFF	6 S.E.% 37.9 29.7 71.8 100.0 22.3	LOW 19 18 47 B	7 AVC 97 316 87 266 7 25 8 79 616 ASAL AREA	3 HIGH 436 346 43 17 754		5 239 F OF PLOTS	60 REQ.	27 INF. POP.
R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 R ALDER DOUG FIR	VAR.% 131.1 102.8 248.6 346.4 77.3 COEFF	6 S.E.% 37.9 29.7 71.8 100.0 22.3	LOW 19 18 47 B	7 AVC 97 316 87 266 7 25 8 79 616 ASAL AREA	3 HIGH 436 346 43 17 754		5 239 F OF PLOTS	60 REQ.	27 INF. POP.
R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE	VAR.% 131.1 102.8 248.6 346.4 77.3 COEFF	6 S.E.% 37.9 29.7 71.8 100.0 22.3	LOW 19 18 47 B	7 AVC 97 316 87 266 7 25 8 79 616 ASAL AREA	3 HIGH 436 346 43 17 754		5 239 F OF PLOTS	60 REQ.	27 INF. POP.
R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE	VAR.% 131.1 102.8 248.6 346.4 77.3 COEFF	6 S.E.% 37.9 29.7 71.8 100.0 22.3	LOW 19 18 47 B	7 AVC 97 316 87 266 7 25 8 79 616 ASAL AREA	3 HIGH 436 346 43 17 754		5 239 F OF PLOTS	60 REQ.	27 INF. POP.
R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE	VAR.% 131.1 102.8 248.6 346.4 77.3 COEFF	6 S.E.% 37.9 29.7 71.8 100.0 22.3	LOW 19 18 47 B LOW	7 AVC 97 316 87 266 7 25 8 8 99 616 ASAL AREA / AVC	G HIGH 436 346 43 17 754 A/ACRE G HIGH	#	5 239 FOF PLOTS 5	60 REQ. 10	27 INF. POP. 12
R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE TOTAL	VAR.% 131.1 102.8 248.6 346.4 77.3 COEFF VAR.%	6 S.E.% 37.9 29.7 71.8 100.0 22.3	LOW 19 18 47 B LOW	7 AVC 97 316 87 266 7 25 8 616 ASAL AREA / AVC	G HIGH 436 346 43 17 754 A/ACRE G HIGH	#	239 FOF PLOTS 5	60 REO. 10	27 INF. POP. 12
SD: 1 R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 R ALDER TOTAL	VAR.% 131.1 102.8 248.6 346.4 77.3 COEFF VAR.%	6 S.E.% 37.9 29.7 71.8 100.0 22.3	LOW 15 18 47 B LOW	7 AVC 97 316 87 266 7 25 8 616 ASAL AREA / AVC	G HIGH 436 346 43 17 754 A/ACRE G HIGH	#	5 239 FOF PLOTS 5	60 REQ. 10	27 INF. POP. 12
R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE TOTAL	VAR.% 131.1 102.8 248.6 346.4 77.3 COEFF VAR.%	6 S.E.% 37.9 29.7 71.8 100.0 22.3	LOW 15 18 47 B LOW	7 AVC 97 316 87 266 7 25 8 616 ASAL AREA / AVC	G HIGH 436 346 43 17 754 A/ACRE G HIGH	#	239 FOF PLOTS 5	60 REO. 10	27 INF. POP. 12
R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE LP PINE R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE	VAR.% 131.1 102.8 248.6 346.4 77.3 COEFF VAR.%	6 S.E.% 37.9 29.7 71.8 100.0 22.3	LOW 15 18 47 B LOW	7 AVC 97 316 87 266 7 25 8 616 ASAL AREA / AVC	G HIGH 436 346 43 17 754 A/ACRE G HIGH	#	239 FOF PLOTS 5	60 REO. 10	27 INF. POP. 12
R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE TOTAL	VAR.% 131.1 102.8 248.6 346.4 77.3 COEFF VAR.%	6 S.E.% 37.9 29.7 71.8 100.0 22.3	LOW 15 18 47 B LOW	7 AVC 97 316 87 266 7 25 8 616 ASAL AREA / AVC	G HIGH 436 346 43 17 754 A/ACRE G HIGH	#	239 FOF PLOTS 5	60 REO. 10	27 INF. POP. 12
R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE TOTAL	VAR.% 131.1 102.8 248.6 346.4 77.3 COEFF VAR.%	6 S.E.% 37.9 29.7 71.8 100.0 22.3 6 S.E.%	LOW 19 18 47 B LOW	7 AVC 97 316 87 266 7 25 89 99 616 ASAL AREA / AVC	G HIGH 436 346 43 17 754 A/ACRE G HIGH	#	239 FOF PLOTS 5	60 REO. 10	27 INF. POP. 15 INF. POP.
R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE TOTAL SD: 1 R ALDER DOUG FIR LP PINE S SPRUCE TOTAL	VAR.% 131.1 102.8 248.6 346.4 77.3 COEFF VAR.%	6 S.E.% 37.9 29.7 71.8 100.0 22.3 6 S.E.%	LOW 19 18 47 B LOW	7 AVC 97 316 87 266 7 25 89 616 ASAL AREA / AVC ET BF/ACR / AVC	G HIGH 436 346 43 17 754 A/ACRE G HIGH	#	239 FOF PLOTS 5	60 REO. 10	27 INF. POP. 12

June 2010

Introduction

The American Tree Farm System has developed this management plan addendum for Tree Farmers whose management plans **already meet the current requirements** and are looking to update their plans to be in conformance with the newly released 2010 - 2015 American Forest Foundation Standards of Sustainability. All lands certified by the American Tree Farm System must meet the requirements of the 2010 AFF Standards by December 31, 2010.

The Management Plan Addendum covers **only** the new items required in the 2010 Standards that were **not previously** addressed under the 2004 Standards (which you would have been certified under if you were certified before 2010).

For more information on the AFF Standards, please visit <u>www.treefarmsystem.org/certification</u> or call Victoria Lockhart at 202.463.2738.

Instructions

Management plans for properties certified by the American Tree Farm System are required to be active, adaptive, embody the landowner's current objectives, remain appropriate for the land certified and reflect the current state of knowledge about forestry and natural resource management. An active management plan is updated on a frequent basis with handwritten notes, plan revisions and informal plan amendments such as this document. Please consider contacting a professional forester (consulting forester, landowner assistance forester, state agency forester) to assist you with your addendum or if your plans needs a more complete update.

Once you have completed the addendum, please attach to your current management plan. Please be sure to **initial and date** the addendum.

Where present and relevant to the property, your management plan must address the following resource elements: Please include only those items not already addressed adequately in your current management plan.

- 1. Review your management plan to determine if it states a desired forest condition, management activities aimed at reaching that condition, and a general schedule of activity implementation.
- 2. <u>Forest Health:</u> The previous standards addressed environmental quality generally. The 2010 standards now separate out forest health, soil and water.

What is the condition of your forest? Are you conducting any activities to improve the health of your forest?

Yes, Clearing non-productive and competing trees and brush. Instead of burning we have invested in a tub grinder to turn the cuttings into woody biomass. This is returned to the soil as augmentation.

3. <u>Soil:</u> The previous standards addressed environmental quality generally. The 2010 standards now separate out forest health, soil and water.

Do you have any general information on your forest soils? To conduct some preliminary research on your soils, visit the National Resource Conservation Service's (NRCS) website:

T17S,R12W, Sec 23, TL1000 2010 Standards Management Plan Addendum Page E2 of 4

<u>http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/</u> or contact your local office of the USDA-Natural Resource Conservation Service.

Yes, as part of a rezoning application we obtained USDA Soil Survey of Lane County and have used that to tailor our replanting efforts.

4. <u>Water:</u> The previous standards addressed environmental quality generally. The 2010 standards now separate out forest health, soil and water. For more information on your state's Forestry BMPs, visit <u>www.treefarmsystem.org/woodlandresources</u>.

Do you have any water on your property (e.g. streams, ponds, vernal pools, etc.)? If relevant, indicate water resources on your tract map? Are you planning any activities (new or continued) to protect these water resources?

Yes, there is a year around stream and a small feeder creek. We have removed culverts and replaced them with bridges to improve the flow. Clearing for replanting maintains all trees that are overhanging the stream and creek. Our yearly permit for forest activities also limits the area for herbicide application in the neighborhood of the stream.

All Tree Farmers must adhere to current State Forestry Best Management Practices

(BMPs) even in those states where BMPs are voluntary. I commit to implementing applicable BMPs on my Tree Farm. Yes No Comments:
Comments:
reatened or Endangered Species: Are you aware of any occurrences of any threatened or endangered species (listed by your state or the Federal government?) on your property? are no threatened or endangered species on our property.
What sources of information have you consulted to determine occurrences of threatened or endangered species on your property? The following are examples of research you may have conducted (please check those you have completed): Walk the property with a knowledgeable natural resources professional Continually, at least 8 times a year to view signs of wildlife and birds. Last walk-about 2/25/2010 –
Consulted the state natural resource agency Yearly when forest operations and herbicide application permits are due. January each year.
Researched sites provided on the ATFS Woodland Owners' Resource (www.treefarmsystem.org/woodlandresources) (date) - (comments) -

T17S,R12W, Sec 23, TL1000 2010 Standards Management Plan Addendum Page E3 of 4

6. <u>Special Sites</u>: Although this is not a new requirement under the AFF Standards, it has been included in the addendum as results of ATFS inspections and third-party certification assessments have shown this management plan component could be improved.

Do you have any special sites on your property? (e.g. historical burial ruins, old cemeteries, cave entrances, rare mineral outcroppings, unique ecological communities). Special sites can be identified by the landowner or by outside credible organizations such as a state forestry agency. *No special sites exist on this property.*

How have you made an effort to locate any special sites on your property? The following are examples of efforts you may have made to locate special sites (Please check those you have completed):

Walk the property with a knowledgeable natural resources professional Walked the property many times in support of boundry location and pest control. Every other month since 1999.

Researched sites provided on the ATFS Woodland Owners'	Resource
(www.treefarmsystem.org/woodlandresources)	
(date) -	
(comments) –	

Review old property maps and visited the local historical society and museum. Nothing of historical interest was noted. 2006

Please include management activities related to the protection of any special sites found on the property.

 $Not\ Applicable-No\ special\ sites$

7. <u>Integrated Pest Management and Invasive Species:</u>

What have you done to monitor your forest for the possible presence of any pests and/or invasive species?

We are continually fighting Scotch Broom and other invasive species while trying to establish new, commercially viable forest. Previously we had a grant from ODF to partially offset herbicide costs. This effort is ongoing and financed completely by myself.

Do you have any pest problems or invasive species on your property? If yes, please list.

Scotch Broom Mountain Beaver Elk brouse Deer brouse

All Tree Farmers must consider integrated pest management to control pests, pathogens and unwanted vegetation (including invasive species). I commit to considering a variety of available pest management options when dealing with pest problems on my property. (Remember, integrated pest management techniques may include mechanical devices, physical devices, genetic, biological or chemical management techniques)

T17S,R12W, Sec 23, TL1000 2010 Standards Management Plan Addendum Page E4 of 4

N_{C}

Comments (may include examples of management activities you will conduct to deal with any pests):

Every year we embark on an integrated broom removal program using mechanical and herbicide applications.

21 (Timmone)

8. <u>High Conservation Value Forests:</u>

Are you aware of any forests of exceptional conservation value on your property? (Definition: Forests of outstanding and critical importance due to their environmental, social, biodiversity, or landscape values)

Nothing of high conservation value in our forest.

Landowner Signature:

Date: June 3, 2010

Oregon Department of Forestry Notifications of Forest Actions / Applications for Permits

TBD