

### Dawson09, Dawson10, Custer22







# Dawson09 - Tract 1







## Dawson09 - Tract 1









#### Tract 1 159.67 ac

SOIL CODE	SOIL DESCRIPTION	ACRES	%	CPI	NCCPI	CAP
8869	Hord silt loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes	64.02	40.1	0	75	2c
8821	Cozad silty clay loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes	46.23	28.96	0	74	2c
8815	Cozad silt loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes	42.65	26.71	0	70	2c
8816	Cozad silt loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes	6.77	4.24	0	70	2e
TOTALS		159.6 7(*)	100%	-	73.17	2.0

(\*) Total acres may differ in the second decimal compared to the sum of each acreage soil. This is due to a round error because we only show the acres of each soil with two decimal.





### Dawson10 - Tract 2







### Dawson10 - Tract 2

Nebraska, AC +/-









Dawson10

#### Tract 2 161.62 ac

SOIL CODE	SOIL DESCRIPTION	ACRES	%	CPI	NCCPI	CAP
8815	Cozad silt loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes	92.64	57.32	0	70	2c
8869	Hord silt loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes	40.96	25.34	0	75	2c
8816	Cozad silt loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes	18.83	11.65	0	70	2e
3952	Fillmore silt loam, frequently ponded	8.49	5.25	0	38	4w
8840	Hall silt loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes	0.7	0.43	0	66	2c
TOTALS		161.6 2(*)	100%	1	69.57	2.11

(\*) Total acres may differ in the second decimal compared to the sum of each acreage soil. This is due to a round error because we only show the acres of each soil with two decimal.











Custer22







### Tract 3 147.75 ac

SOIL CODE	SOIL DESCRIPTION	ACRES	%	CPI	NCCPI	CAP
8840	Hall silt loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes	46.42	31.42	0	64	2c
2672	Holdrege silty clay loam, 3 to 7 percent slopes, eroded	35.29	23.88	0	63	3e
4146	Holdrege silty clay loam, 7 to 11 percent slopes, eroded	32.72	22.15	0	62	3e
8841	Hall silt loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes	19.08	12.91	0	64	2e
3912	Scott silty clay loam, frequently ponded	14.19	9.6	0	24	4w
4138	Holdrege silt loam, 7 to 11 percent slopes	0.05	0.03	0	72	4e
TOTALS		147.7 5(*)	100%	1	59.48	2.65

(\*) Total acres may differ in the second decimal compared to the sum of each acreage soil. This is due to a round error because we only show the acres of each soil with two decimal.



